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KEY RECOMMENDATIONS

This policy note presents strong evidence of the impacts of early childhood exposure to shocks on later life human capital outcomes in lower-middle-income countries, particularly in the Sahel region. It recommends key, evidence-based social protection interventions to mitigate these impacts and protect human capital as follows:

- **Cash transfers to improve child nutritional outcomes**, particularly when combined with behavior change communication on water, sanitation and hygiene and hygiene practices.
- **Conditional cash transfers to increase educational outcomes**, especially when monitored and reinforced.
- **Contributory schemes to mitigate the impact of shocks on asset loss and household expenditure**, particularly health, livestock, and climate insurance schemes.
- **Food distribution interventions to mitigate the impact of shocks on nutritional and educational outcomes**, particularly for children under-five, which is a critical period for growth and development.
- **Behavioral interventions to positively influence health and educational behavior and spending**, especially nutrition education, academic nudges, and maternal psychotherapy interventions.
- **The success of these interventions requires context- and population-appropriate program design and implementation** to maximize their effects on protecting human capital.

---

### Box 1 : Indicators of Human Capital

**Childhood nutritional status** is routinely assessed with anthropometric measures — measures of the body. These indicators include stunting (low height-for-age) and wasting (low weight-for-height). Stunting is considered an indicator of chronic undernutrition, whereas wasting is considered an indicator of acute undernutrition.

**Nutritional status, in both childhood and adulthood, is assessed indirectly through feeding practices.** The World Health Organization and UNICEF recommend that children under six months of age be exclusively breastfed. Once complementary feedings begins, a child should be fed an adequate number of high-quality, diverse meals, which is primarily assessed by the number of different food groups from which one eats daily.

---

**Introduction**

To ensure sustained economic growth in lower-middle-income countries (LMICs), it is essential to accumulate and protect human capital. Human capital is “the knowledge, skills, and health that people accumulate over their lives.” Exposure to shocks in LMICs has resulted in significant economic costs, including through the loss of human capital. Shocks are unanticipated events that result in major disruptions at the household or national level, and include conflict, climate events, or sudden loss of income. The impact of shocks is especially damaging when they occur in early childhood — the first five years of life. Disruptions experienced during this critical period of cognitive and physical development have been shown to have long-lasting effects on potential productivity and earnings. Box 1 summarizes key indicators of human capital that can help track human capital outcomes.
Box 1 continued

Educational status, in childhood or adulthood, is assessed through measures of school attendance and performance. Indicators measured during childhood include current school enrollment, school attendance, and test scores. Indicators assessing educational status in adulthood or late childhood include grades completed or years of schooling.

Health status is assessed directly through measures of morbidity or mortality. In childhood, morbidity is assessed through the incidence of illnesses such as diarrheal disease or respiratory infections. Additional assessments of health status include measures of cognitive development.

The World Bank Human Capital Index (HCI) brings some of these indicators together to capture the amount of human capital that a child born today could expect to attain by age 18. It calculates the human capital of the next generation, defined as the amount of human capital that a child born today can expect to achieve in view of the risks of poor health and poor education currently prevailing in the country where that child lives. The HCI is based on three components: survival, expected years of learning-adjusted school and health. Across Sub-Saharan Africa, a child born in 2020 will only be 40 percent as productive when she grows up as she could be if she had enjoyed complete education and full health.

Among LMICs, the Sahel region is highly exposed to shocks and vulnerable to their impacts on human capital formation and accumulation. The six countries of the Sahel — Burkina Faso, Chad, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, and Senegal — experience increasingly frequent climate- and conflict-related shocks. The United Nations estimates that the temperature increase in Sahel countries is almost 1.5 times higher than the projected global average, resulting in numerous droughts. This is compounded by high levels of poverty and inequality, which increase household vulnerability to the effects of shocks on human capital formation. Persistent poor human capital outcomes mean that children born in the Sahel are only one third to two-fifths as productive as adults than they could have been if human capital had been optimally developed. Figure 1 provides a framework that illustrates the potential impacts of unmitigated exposure to the impacts of shocks and the vital importance of investing in childhood human capital.
FIGURE 1.
UNICEF Framework for Investing in Childhood Human Capital

**Short term**: Reduced growth, lower language and cognitive functioning; lower academic achievement and increased chances of dropping out of school

**Long term**: Lowered economic productivity; more health problems, such as cardiovascular issues; more crime

**Source**: Authors’ adaptation based on UNICEF (2017)

Note: The black arrows illustrate the perpetual cycle of adverse long-term outcomes negatively affecting the macro environment and underlying causes.

Source: Authors’ adaptation based on UNICEF (2017). Note: The black arrows illustrate the perpetual cycle of adverse long-term outcomes negatively affecting the macro environment and underlying causes.
Impact of shocks on human capital in LMICs

2.1 Impact of exposure during the early years on human capital formation

Childhood or in utero exposure to extreme weather events can have catastrophic impacts on human capital formation, with long–lasting effects on adult outcomes. Global studies show that in utero or childhood exposure to famine, drought or crop failure has variously resulted in a wide range of adverse human capital outcomes in adulthood, such as poorer health outcomes (higher likelihood of diseases, faster cognitive aging, adult body size, or diabetes). Surviving a famine in early childhood affects not just health but also long–term labor market outcomes, including lower levels of education and reduced working hours and income. In utero exposure to health– or conflict–related shocks, such as war or a pandemic, also has long–lasting impacts on human capital outcomes in adulthood. Those in utero during these events have experienced significant reductions in education, health, and economic outcomes.

2.2 Impact of exposure during adolescence on human capital formation

In Sub–Saharan Africa, exposure to droughts in adolescence can also increase the likelihood of child marriage. Households may use child marriage as a mechanism to manage a decrease in household income by reducing household size, and expenditure on food and education. Girls who marry early are more likely to experience domestic violence, school dropout, and oppression, which further perpetuates poor short– and long–term human capital outcomes, including to the next generation.

The COVID–19 pandemic is likely to have long–lasting impacts on human capital. There is already evidence of pandemic–related impacts on income and consumption, increased intimate partner violence, reduced childhood human capital due to school closures, higher incidence of adolescents dropping out of school, increased teen pregnancies, and higher rates of child marriages.

2.3 Impact of maternal exposure to concurrent shocks on childhood human capital formation

A single extreme event can lead to concurrent and compounding shocks that impact maternal stress and subsequently child outcomes. Shocks increase maternal stress, with measurable effects that range from complications during labor and birth, developmental delays and behavioral abnormalities among their children, through to intergenerational impacts on the grandchildren of those who experienced the stressor. Shocks can also increase violence against women, further magnifying maternal stress. Children with one or more parents exposed to war or intimate partner violence are at higher risk of experiencing child maltreatment, which increases their risk of physical and mental illness. These examples highlight the need for interventions to mitigate the impacts of exposure to shocks.
Impact of shocks on human capital in the Sahel

Countries in the Sahel are highly vulnerable to shocks and their impact on human capital. Studies have revealed the extensive short and long-term human capital impacts of climate, conflict, and health-related shocks in this region.

3.1 Impact of climate–related shocks in the Sahel

Exposure to climate shocks in the Sahel can significantly impact child nutritional, health, and educational outcomes. This highlights the need to mitigate exposure to shocks in early childhood, to address both the direct impact on children and the indirect impact through parental (particularly maternal) nutrition and stress. In numerous Sahel countries, droughts or flooding have frequently resulted in sudden and dramatic increases in household food costs, with the negative impacts on food security continuing beyond the immediate shock. The Sahel region is highly vulnerable to droughts, and children living in the driest climates have an 80 percent higher likelihood of suffering from diarrheal disease than children in humid climates. Furthermore, birth month exposure to dust or sandstorms (harmattan) is associated with an increased likelihood of neonatal mortality.

In Mali, early childhood exposure to locust invasions reduced long-term school enrollment, particularly for children who were in utero and up to two years old during the locust invasion, and more so for boys. Similar negative impacts were seen on grade attainment among girls, while exposure to famine in Mali led to a decrease in male educational attainment.

3.2 Impact of conflict–related shocks in the Sahel

Increasing instability in the Sahel, and the associated conflict–related shocks, can negatively affect general and maternal health status. There is striking evidence of the mental and maternal health impacts of conflict–related shocks in this region. Among Malian refugees in Burkina Faso, 75 percent were found to be depressed or suffering from a mental illness, while 85 percent had post–traumatic stress disorder, particularly among women and older populations. In Burkina Faso, expectant mothers who were exposed to terrorist attacks made less use of maternal healthcare services. In Chad, internally displaced populations experienced a higher prevalence of child and under–five mortality than their non–displaced counterparts.

3.3 Impact of the COVID–19 health shock in the Sahel

Current studies on the COVID–19 health shock are revealing the negative impacts of the pandemic on food security and poverty in the Sahel. In Burkina Faso, more than 70 percent of women in both urban regions rural regions reported partial or complete income loss due to the COVID–19 pandemic and associated restrictions. Additionally, the COVID–19 pandemic has increased food insecurity in Burkina Faso, including reduced meal frequency and meal size.
4 Interventions to limit the impacts of shocks on human capital

Social protection interventions aim to mitigate the short- and long-term impacts of early-life shocks on key determinants of human capital in both childhood and adulthood. This section provides proven social protection interventions that can inform effective, evidence-based policy solutions in the Sahel.

4.1 Cash transfer interventions

Cash transfer interventions in LMICs have been shown to measurably impact child nutritional and educational outcomes. Cash transfers are most impactful on child nutritional status when combined with behavior change communication. WASH and hygiene behavior change communication can have the greatest impact on both child growth and undernutrition. Other priority areas for behavior change communication are on infant and young child feeding and household nutrition. Effective cash transfer programs are dependent on program design, implementation, and target population.

Cash transfer programs in LMICs can also improve the likelihood of school enrollment and attendance. This impact is further improved when the program is conditional, and these conditions are monitored and reinforced. Conditional cash transfer programs can in particular counter the tendency to pull girls out of school, improving not just educational outcomes, but also reducing the likelihood of early marriage and teenage pregnancy. However, cash transfer programs do not impact on test scores, which points to policy opportunities for improving school and schooling quality, in addition to ensuring increased access to schools.

Box 2: Concern Worldwide Conditional Cash Transfer Program (Niger)

In 2012, Concern Worldwide introduced a conditional cash transfer program in rural Niger in response to government predictions of an impending drought and famine. The program targeted the poorest households, which were particularly vulnerable to food insecurity. Households received approximately US$ 250 per month for a 3-month period, and where possible, this was provided directly to female beneficiaries. Prior to receiving the monthly cash transfer, mothers were required to attend nutrition education courses.

Children between 6 and 24 months whose households were enrolled in this program observed increases across multiple weight measures. In Niger the electronic distribution of cash through mobile money may be the most cost-effective option for cash transfers and resulted in greater improvements in food purchases and consumption.

Cash plus programs can improve the impact of cash transfer programs in LMICs and ensure an enduring impact on human capital. Cash plus programs are unconditional cash transfer programs implemented alongside complementary programs or services that address the needs of the target population and facilitate household-level investments in human capital. These complementary programs or services can include additional benefits or in-kind transfers, behavioral change communication, psychosocial support, facilitating access to services, or linking individuals to services. A combination or package of these interventions can be implemented concurrently or staggered to maximize their impact on human capital. The most appropriate package and timing of interventions depends on the needs of the population and the context in which they are implemented.

4.2 Public Work Programs

Public work programs can mitigate the impact of shocks on human capital in LMICs. Public work programs are government interventions that provide individuals with employment opportunities for approximate market wage in-cash or in-kind (food items, vouchers, or subsidies) payments. Public works programs in low-income and lower-middle-income African countries have a varied impact on household expenditure, nutrition, and education outcomes. Within this region, there is inconclusive evidence of the impact of public works programs on consumption and expenditure, the impact on food security and nutrition outcomes is inconsistent, and the impact on education outcomes is largely statistically insignificant. However, available evidence is limited by a small number of rigorous studies outside of Ethiopia and considerable heterogeneity in the program design — including the duration of the program, payment schemes and relative amounts, and the type of complementary packages.
### 4.3 Contributory Schemes

#### Health insurance

Health insurance schemes are the primary social insurance schemes targeting the most vulnerable populations in LMICs and can also be provided as social health insurances (non–contributory) to the poorest. Community–based health insurance schemes can improve educational outcomes and reduce catastrophic health expenditures. The key determinants of program effectiveness include participant understanding of health insurance, trust in the insurer, household size, community involvement, administrative and management structure, the amount and timing of the premium, and cost–sharing.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 3: Healthcare (Rwanda)</th>
<th>58</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| In Rwanda, a nationwide community-based mutual health insurance scheme called *Mutuelles de Santé* was introduced in 2005, reaching 90 percent coverage by 2012. This scheme targets low–income households or individuals who are employed in the informal sector. Every Rwandan is obliged by law to have some form of health insurance. This particular mandatory scheme provides coverage for healthcare services and medication. While households enroll in the scheme jointly, payment is calculated per household member. Payment for households with the lowest income levels are covered by the Rwandan government or donors. Insurance payments among other members are based on income level.  

This scheme has resulted in improved educational outcomes, better protection against parental health shocks, decreased catastrophic spending, and a reduced poverty gap. 59,60,61 Insurance coverage also decreased the number of hours worked by boys. The effectiveness and sustainability of community–based health insurance schemes is dependent on local buy–in at all levels and the identification of reliable and sustainable financing mechanisms. |

#### Index–based insurance schemes

Index–based insurance schemes mitigate the impact of shocks, particularly climate and agricultural shocks, on household expenditure and poverty levels. These schemes require a particular threshold to be met before cash payments are automatically distributed to beneficiaries. The effectiveness of these interventions is dependent on adequate demand for the insurance scheme and appropriate indexes to ensure that payments are adequately timed to mitigate the impact of shocks on households. Index–based livestock insurance may also benefit household spending. Among rural households, selling livestock is often one of the first strategies to manage the financial effects of shocks. Index–based livestock insurance schemes are interventions that could potentially eliminate or minimize this asset loss or changes in household expenditure. In Kenya and Ethiopia, coverage was associated with a 45 percent decrease in catastrophic losses following exposure to shocks. 62

Uptake of index–based insurance schemes remains low in LMICs, despite their potential to mitigate the impact of shocks on human capital. Demand and uptake of these schemes is generally dependent on understanding, perception, trust, cost, and available alternatives, 63 in addition to geographically specific determinants. 64,65,66 At the individual level, demand also depends on the specific asset and the climatic event being insured against.67 This evidence highlights the importance of designing population–specific insurance schemes to ensure increased demand and uptake.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 4: The Kenya Livestock Insurance Program (Kenya)</th>
<th>68,69</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In 2015, the Kenyan government introduced a social protection program to prevent the loss of livestock and improve food insecurity in the most vulnerable drought–affected communities. Kenya purchases insurance coverage for vulnerable households in arid and semi–arid counties. Calculating agents monitor, via satellite, the amount of vegetation available for livestock to consume and create an associated index of drought. Once a predetermined index threshold is reached and communicated to relevant stakeholders, insurance companies provide payments directly to policyholders. Payments are proportional to the value thresholds reached. Households then use the payments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.4 Food distribution interventions

Food distribution interventions mitigate the impact of shocks on food consumption, particularly for children under–five, which is a critical period for growth and development. Food distribution interventions broadly target either school or non-school-age children.  

#### Supplementary/complementary feeding

Supplementary/complementary child feeding programs target non–school–age children, especially during the critical growth period of the first 1,000 days of life.
Complementary feeding programs in LMICs, with or without additional nutrition education, can result in marked improvements in measures of growth in children.70,71

**Box 5: The Rainbow Project Supplementary Feeding Program (Zambia) 72,73**

In 2011, an NGO called the Rainbow Project, introduced a supplementary feeding program in two Zambian districts. The program targeted the most undernourished children under-five who did not suffer from any additional medical complications. Feeding centers provided weekly growth monitoring, nutrition education, cooking demonstrations, onsite provision of a meal to the children, distribution of high energy protein supplements for the children under-five and a basket of local foods for the whole household, and home visitations. Home visits were also conducted by community volunteers.

**School feeding**

School feeding interventions can improve both nutrition and education outcomes.74,75 School feeding programs provide children with nutritious meals and snacks during school hours, or take-home food items, and are regularly introduced alongside complementary programs such as deworming treatments or micronutrient supplementation. School feeding programs in Africa have been shown to increase school attendance, enrollment, and test scores.76 There is also evidence of long-lasting and multi-generational impacts of school feeding programs on nutritional outcomes.77 The impact of these programs is dependent on their context, design, and implementation.

**Box 6: School Feeding Program (Ghana) 78,79**

The Ghana School Feeding Program is a nationwide government-led school feeding program that provides kindergarten and primary school children with one nutritious hot meal every school day. Identification of schools or communities is based on factors including poor educational outcomes, high rates of poverty or gender inequality, and the existence and willingness to introduce complementary nutrition or health education interventions.

**4.5 Behavioral interventions**

**Nutrition Education**

Nutrition education can improve child feeding practices and key measures of child growth.70,71,72 Nutrition education programs — commonly referred to as complementary feeding education — educate parents and caregivers about how to promote the overall well-being of their children and ensure that feeding practices are timely, adequate, and safe. These programs are among the most widely implemented behavioral interventions across LMICs.

**Academic nudges**

Behavioral interventions can encourage improved school attendance and academic outcomes.83,84 Decision-makers can augment the promising outcomes of these interventions by ensuring effective monitoring and improvement of schools and schooling quality.

**Mental health interventions**

Psychotherapy interventions that target women — particularly for depression — can have significant long-term impacts on women’s empowerment and improve time- and monetary-intensive parental investments.85 Mental health interventions that foster women’s empowerment can result in improved maternal and child health.86 Mental health interventions can also address the direct mental effects of exposure to shocks (e.g., post-traumatic stress disorder).

**Box 7: The Thinking Healthy Program—Peer Delivered (Pakistan) 87,88,89**

An evidence-based mental health intervention has been introduced by the World Health Organization in rural Pakistan to address high rates of perinatal depression. The ‘Thinking Healthy Program’ uses a simplified version of cognitive behavioral therapy to address mental health issues in mothers, and costs US$133 per participant. Trained peers facilitate individual and group sessions with mothers who are diagnosed with depression. Sessions focus on improving mothers’ relationship with themselves, with their babies, and with their support systems.
Conclusions

Protecting children in the crucial first five years of life from the impacts of exposure to shocks is vital to long-term human capital outcomes and sustained economic growth in LMICs. Countries in the Sahel are particularly exposed to climate- and conflict-related shocks and are more vulnerable to their impacts due to their reduced ability to withstand this exposure in a way that minimizes the impact on human capital formation, especially in early childhood. This policy note has outlined proven social protection interventions that can mitigate or even eliminate this vulnerability and ensure the protection and accumulation of human capital. To be effective, the design of these interventions must be population-specific, and their implementation closely monitored.
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