Executive Summary

Sustainability, Resilience, and Inclusiveness Are Urgent Challenges for Economic Development

The Changing Wealth of Nations 2021 provides an updated database and analysis of the world’s wealth accounts spanning 146 countries, with annual data from 1995 to 2018. It also contains the widest set of assets covered so far, including the value of human capital broken down by gender, as well as many different forms of natural capital, spanning minerals, fossil fuels, forests, mangroves, marine fisheries, and more. The Changing Wealth of Nations (CWON) wealth accounts provide a rigorous, comparable monetary measure of these assets, grounded in the balance sheet approach based on both the System of National Accounts (SNA) framework and the System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA). This provides a rich set of economic indicators ready for use by a wide set of actors, including government and the private sector, to look beyond traditional measures such as gross domestic product (GDP).

Twenty-first century economic development challenges will be characterized by their complexity and interconnectedness with the natural environment. Climate change, loss of ecosystems, forests, and biodiversity; degradation of oceans and agricultural land; and different forms of pollution all threaten material well-being, including through potential “nonlinearities” and “fat tail” risks (Bolton et al. 2020). To navigate these challenges, wealth accounts can broaden policy makers’ lens beyond GDP; increasingly, experts and governments agree. For example, the Government of the United Kingdom commissioned the Dasgupta Review on the economics of biodiversity (Dasgupta 2021), which was released in early 2021 and has called for governments to embrace wealth measures, combining the value of produced capital, human capital, and natural capital.

Wealth and GDP are companions. When properly understood and combined, they provide the necessary guidance for managing economies more sustainably. However, on their own they are not sufficient for ensuring sustainability and human well-being, because they omit additional considerations of critical natural capital and social capital, among others.
But the disaggregated wealth accounts provide deeper insight to better guide policy choices than GDP alone. Increasing the value of renewable natural capital per capita, for example, contributes to sustainable development if it is done through better management and investments in nature. Essential conditions for value creation include—although are not limited to—policies that make the value of nature’s services reflected in prices that economic agents and policy makers can see in the marketplace.

In addition, economic sustainability is not the same as human well-being. Wealth, like GDP, is intended to represent material well-being, not broader human well-being. Although per capita wealth may be similar for countries, the well-being of citizens may be quite different because of factors such as institutions, governance, culture, and social capital that influence but cannot be directly incorporated into monetary values. Furthermore, like other economic indicators, wealth measures reflect human-centered perspectives on value rather than an intrinsic or life-centered approach to valuation that is independent of utility to humans. Users of wealth accounts should therefore consider its strengths and weaknesses for policy applications (see box ES.1).

**BOX ES.1 Strengths and Limitations of Wealth Accounting**

The wealth accounting approach allows a wider set of assets to be considered than conventional public finance indicators, which normally focus on traditional capital assets and liabilities, such as machines, buildings, and infrastructure. The Changing Wealth of Nations converts a wider range of natural and human assets into monetary valuations while adhering to the System of National Accounts (SNA)–compatible balance sheet approach used in economic policy. This makes the more comprehensive spectrum of wealth visible and investment-worthy for economic and financial policy makers.

Comparable monetary measures of natural and human capital, alongside traditional forms of produced capital, allow economic policy makers to consider the impact on and benefits of these assets. This wider set of assets can be more easily included in policy making by ministries of finance, economy, and treasury and central banks. Wealth accounts can provide a yardstick that is comparable to their own metrics used to evaluate economic performance.

The benefits of adherence to the rigor of SNA-compatible balance sheets go hand in hand with the limitations of this approach. Some economic assets are more difficult than others to measure in market terms, especially natural assets, which may not have defined owners and readily observable market prices. Other entities, such as social capital (trust, institutions, and governance) and biodiversity are less amenable to the SNA-based balance sheet approach, as they can be seen as characteristics of assets rather than assets themselves. They are nonetheless essential to human well-being and enhance the value of more traditional assets as well as having intrinsic value beyond monetary considerations. The wealth accounts of natural capital do not provide a full picture of the management, accumulation, depletion, and degradation of ecosystems without complementary underlying biophysical indicators, such as measures of species loss or tree cover.

Further, the wealth accounts take asset prices as given by (or derived from) the existing markets. Therefore, they may not capture the “true” value of assets that are mispriced and/or mismanaged. Country policies,
Global and Regional Trends in Wealth

Global total wealth grew significantly between 1995 and 2018. All income groups saw increasing total wealth and per capita wealth over the period. However, for some countries the growth in total wealth per capita was disappointing, and even negative in some cases.

CWON 2021’s measure of the change in wealth per capita over time is perhaps the most important metric to consider in addition to GDP and provides an actionable measure to track sustainability. Despite a global expansion in total wealth per capita between 1995 and 2018 (map ES.1), many countries are on an unsustainable development path, because their natural, human, or produced capital is being run down in favor of short-term boosts in income or consumption. In countries where today’s GDP is achieved by consuming or degrading net assets over time, for example, by overfishing or soil degradation, total wealth is declining. This can happen even as GDP rises, because the practice undermines future prosperity rather than economic output today.
The strongest performance was found among upper-middle-income countries, which had increases in wealth of over 200 percent between 1995 and 2018 (figure ES.1). Low-income countries saw per capita wealth growth by less than the global average, at 22 percent compared with 44 percent. This means that low-income countries are falling further behind the rest of the world, creating a significant divergence in global wealth per person. Per capita wealth changes are consistently lower than total wealth growth, as they factor in the rate of population growth, which for some countries has been very rapid during this period.

Economic development cannot be socially sustainable if it is not inclusive. Inclusiveness across countries requires the poorest countries to catch up with the per capita wealth of the rest of the world. To do so, however, they will need an above-average rate of growth in assets—to ensure that they catch up and then keep pace with higher levels of population growth. Doing so would mean their share in global total wealth would be rising. Unfortunately, the data show that this is not happening quickly. Between 1995 and 2018, low-income countries’ share of global wealth increased only from 0.5 to 0.6 percent. The performance of lower-middle-income countries was better, increasing in share from 5 to 7 percent by 2018. China’s performance was the most striking, as its share of global total wealth transformed from a modest 7 percent in 1995 to 21 percent by 2018.

Although national total wealth increased everywhere, per capita total wealth did not. Twenty-six countries saw a decline or stagnation in per capita wealth as population growth outpaced net growth in asset value, especially in Sub-Saharan Africa among countries such as the Democratic
Republic of Congo, Niger, and Zimbabwe. These twenty-six countries could be found in all income groups. As per capita wealth declines, the ability of countries to maintain per capita income will decline. If the trend continues, future generations in these countries will be worse off than current generations.

**Natural Capital**

Renewable natural capital (forests, mangroves, fisheries, agricultural land, and protected areas) has increased in value since 1995 globally and among all income groups. It remains critically important for low-income countries, accounting for 23 percent of their total wealth in 2018 (figure ES.2). This share is almost half of what it was in 1995 (39 percent), as these countries invested and diversified their asset portfolios by building the value of human capital and produced capital. Renewable natural assets nonetheless remain important even as countries grow and develop. While the share of renewables in total wealth falls with income, the per capita values are highest in high-income Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries. This pattern shows that the route to prosperity need not come at the expense of nature—the opposite is true.

Enhancing and protecting renewable natural capital to increase its value has been a part of the sustainable development path of higher-income countries. CWON 2021 data show countries can avoid pursuing short-term growth of GDP at the expense of natural capital. Instead, sustainable development is better achieved by responsibly managing natural assets and using the proceeds from nature to support investment in human and produced capital.
CWON 2021, for the first time, presents accounts for major components of blue natural capital: mangroves and marine capture fisheries, which are a critical part of total wealth for some countries. Here, the performance has been mixed. Blue natural capital fell by half from 1995 to 2018, as the value of fisheries collapsed by 83 percent, and this was only partially compensated by an increase in mangrove asset value of 157 percent (figure ES.3). The relative importance of mangroves and marine capture fisheries in blue natural capital reversed over time: the fisheries share declined from 85 to 27 percent of blue natural capital, while mangroves grew and became the dominant component of blue natural capital considered in CWON accounts. In all regions except South Asia, the value of fisheries declined, while the value of mangroves increased in all regions except North America. The main reason for the decline in the value of fisheries is a physical depletion of fish stocks due to the failure to coordinate fishing activities between countries and the private sector. The value of aquaculture has not been taken into consideration while calculating blue natural capital.

The global wealth of mangroves has increased since 1995, but their physical area declined in the same period. The reason is that the value of coastal human structures that mangroves protect has dramatically increased. In line with SEEA/SNA methodology, a major part of the value of mangroves is derived from the market value of buildings, roads, and other physical infrastructure along the coast that mangroves protect from storm and tidal surges. Had their physical area also expanded alongside the value of human coastal infrastructure, far more wealth creation
would have occurred. This analysis unveils the economic benefits of government policies to facilitate physical protection and expansion of mangroves.

Low- and middle-income countries, where land accounts (forests, protected areas, and agricultural lands) are a large component of total wealth, have seen declining forest wealth but rising agricultural wealth. While forest wealth (timber plus ecosystem services) per capita decreased by 8 percent between 1995 and 2018, driven by population growth and a loss of forest area, agricultural land wealth (cropland plus pastureland) per capita has increased by 9 percent due to area expansion and increasing value per square kilometer (figure ES.4). The area in agriculture increased by 4 percent between 1995 and 2018, while forest land area declined by 4 percent overall, due to conversion to agriculture and other land uses. Although wealth in agricultural lands increased over 1995–2018, the simulations of future impacts of climate change shows that this trend may be slowed or even reversed because of changes in temperature, precipitation, and land degradation. Protected areas show a rapid increase in area and wealth per square kilometer, which is promising news for the sustainability of human development.

Nonrenewable natural capital grew rapidly from 1995 until around 2014 and has declined in value since then, driven by falling prices (figure ES.5). Between 2014 and 2018, nonrenewable total wealth fell from US$46 trillion to US$30 trillion (a 35 percent decline in four years). This significant loss in value highlights the difficult development challenges faced by countries that depend on these assets, particularly where price changes are exogenous shocks falling outside the control of government policy or domestic company decisions.
What Drives Changes in Asset Value?

The value of assets is a combined effect of changes in the physical volumes of assets and their unit rents (market revenues minus costs). Information on changes in physical volumes are essential from the point of view of a strong approach to environmental sustainability, which requires additional attention paid to the limits to substitution between natural and other forms of capital, including planetary environmental boundaries, thresholds in critical ecosystems services, as well as irreversibility of some uncertain effects of potential collapse of some forms of natural capital. CWON 2021 introduces for the first time a transparent decomposition analysis to disentangle the physical volume and market price effects on natural asset values.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Table ES.1 shows the three-part decomposition for natural capital assets from 1995 to 2018. The decomposition shows the contribution of each factor to this change. Overall, the value of natural capital increased by 68 percent, with renewables increasing by 38 percent and nonrenewables increasing by 129 percent.

Decomposition analysis can highlight striking changes hidden in headline wealth trends. Mangroves, as discussed, have declined globally in area but have risen in overall value. Had their area also expanded, far more wealth creation would have occurred—measured via the protective benefits from mangroves.

Unit rent effects (prices and costs) matter as well. Volatility in fossil fuel prices played a major role in fluctuations of values of oil, gas, and coal wealth. The declining unit rents for metals and minerals reflects, in part, the lower prices toward the end of the time period. This meant that despite increases in volume from additional production, and expansion of reserves reflected in lifetime effects, weakening commodity prices significantly reduced the potential growth in mineral wealth around the world. These reduced unit rents have had systemic macrofiscal consequences in countries that are highly dependent on metals and minerals for exports and government revenues.

### Table ES.1 Three-Part Decomposition Results for Natural Capital Stocks, 1995–2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural capital</th>
<th>1995</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rent effect</td>
<td>Volume effect</td>
<td>Unit rent effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural capital</td>
<td>38,409</td>
<td>22,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable natural capital</td>
<td>25,776</td>
<td>9,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forests, timber</td>
<td>2,544</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forests, nontimber</td>
<td>4,879</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangroves</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisheries</td>
<td>1,225</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protected areas</td>
<td>1,927</td>
<td>971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cropland</td>
<td>10,631</td>
<td>6,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pastureland</td>
<td>4,356</td>
<td>2,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonrenewable natural capital</td>
<td>12,633</td>
<td>12,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>9,588</td>
<td>6,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas</td>
<td>1,090</td>
<td>1,695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>949</td>
<td>2,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals and minerals</td>
<td>1,007</td>
<td>2,475</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: World Bank staff calculations.

Note: Because the volume effect (in dollars) is weighted by unit rent, this can be positive even if physical quantities (e.g., catch in tons) show a negative trend. Moreover, the global volume effect shown here can be dominated by large countries. Green and pink cells represent positive and negative effects on natural capital, respectively.
Human Capital

Human capital—estimated as the present value of future earnings for the labor force, employed and self-employed—is the largest asset across all income groups, constituting 64 percent of total wealth in 2018, only slightly higher than in 1995. Self-employed workers account for 13 percent of global human capital but a much larger share of the total in many low-income countries, where the agriculture sector and informal employment are significant. CWON 2021 provides human capital accounts broken down by gender. Significant disparity between male and female human capital persists across most regions and income groups, with great variation among regions: females hold 44 percent of human capital in Latin America and the Caribbean but only 13 percent in South Asia. Human capital per capita is growing fastest in upper-middle-income countries, at an annual rate of 5.3 percent, while growth in OECD countries is slower than the global average (figure ES.6).

The CWON 2021, for the first time, calculates human capital using region- and income group–specific future wage growth rates, making an important stride in improving the estimates of human capital. The slower annual wage growth in high-income countries (roughly 1 percent), combined with the aging of the labor force, reduces their share of global human capital. Meanwhile, higher rates of wage growth in some middle-income countries (up to 4 percent) increases their relative share.

Population health, education, and skills are embedded in the CWON methodology of human capital valuation via estimated lifetime earnings. Although the full, long-lasting effects of the COVID-19 pandemic are still unknown, the resulting economic downturn and associated unemployment and loss of earnings have already set back the long-term progress in poverty reduction, especially in low-income countries.

FIGURE ES.6 Annual Growth Rate of Human Capital per Capita, by Income Group, 1995–2018

Source: World Bank staff calculations.
Note: OECD = Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
pandemic’s downward impact on future wage growth is incorporated into the estimation of human capital, low-income countries experience the largest negative impact, with a loss of 14 percent of total future human capital compared to the value in 2018. At the regional level, Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia suffer the greatest setbacks, losing 15 and 7 percent of human capital, respectively. CWON 2021 also includes estimates of losses of human capital due to air pollution.

Policies to Manage Risk and Build Energy Wealth for the Future

Primary energy resources, such as renewable energy and fossil fuels, are important components of natural capital and should be accounted for as part of the wealth accounts. So far, from these, only subsoil nonrenewable fossil fuel assets are included in the national balance sheets and in the CWON wealth accounts. The measurement of renewable energy resources—wind, solar, and hydropower—as assets has not been systematically addressed in the SNA or the SEEA. This edition of the CWON demonstrates how to account for renewable energy wealth in the same way as for fossil fuels.

The global low-carbon transition is already rebalancing the national portfolios of energy assets. If the goals of the Paris Agreement are achieved, the value of fossil fuels will be lower and the value of renewable energy will increase. But there is deep uncertainty about how exactly the low-carbon transition will unfold. Policies can also shape the evolution of this portfolio and levels of investment in the different assets. The CWON 2021 explores these risks and opportunities for energy assets and how the uncertainty can be navigated by getting the right prices and policies.

Countries that are well endowed in nonrenewable energy reserves (figure ES.7) saw significant growth in wealth over 1995–2014, albeit with considerable volatility. From 2014, global prices and associated rents from fossil fuels declined precipitously and have not fully recovered. The COVID-19 shock in 2020 has suppressed prices again. Historical changes to nonrenewable natural capital wealth are decomposed by their contributing factors, such as depletion and discoveries, changes in prices and costs, and other factors. The CWON analysis explores the challenges facing countries that are dependent on nonrenewable natural capital and highlights that the urgent low-carbon transition represents a significant risk to fossil fuel assets and the countries that rely on them.

Simulations of several potential global low-carbon transition pathways show that transition risk can significantly affect the value of all fossil fuel assets, and that the impact will be unevenly distributed across fuels, countries, and asset owners. Distributions of risk will also significantly depend on the pathway along which the low-carbon transition will unfold. CWON 2021 unpacks the risk to the value of fossil fuel assets and explores it quantitatively by applying a macroeconomic model to run multiple climate and trade policy scenarios. From 2018–50, if the Paris climate ambitions are achieved, global fossil fuel wealth may be US$4.4 trillion to
US$6.2 trillion (13–18 percent) lower than under a business-as-usual scenario. Oil assets represent the largest value at risk and gas the lowest, but in percentage terms coal reserves would lose most of their reference value and oil the least. By country group, the highest value at risk is held by fuel exporters in the Middle East and North Africa because of their significant oil exports, and by the middle-income high fossil fuel users (including China and India) because of their high coal reserves and use (figure ES.8). Ambitious climate policies have large implications for coal wealth but do not represent a systemic macrofiscal risk to coal-intensive countries, because even for the largest producers, coal wealth accounts for a much smaller share of total wealth. However, managing the risks of stranded miners, stranded regions, and stranded coal power plants may be a significant challenge. The share of oil or gas in the total wealth among major producers of each is much higher than coal and poses significant macroeconomic risk if a managed transition away from fossil fuel dependence is not achieved.

Oil exporters have incentives to adopt their own climate policies in cooperation with international mitigation efforts. CWON modelling suggests that oil assets could lose more value if unilateral climate actions to achieve the goals of the Paris Agreement are undertaken by oil importers
alone. Gas and coal exporters may have less incentive to take early climate policy action. Macroeconomic adjustments in the global economy may encourage them to “free ride” on the unilateral climate mitigation efforts of the rest of the world and benefit from attracting and retaining emission-intensive industries using gas and coal as inputs. Border carbon adjustment taxes can alter these incentives, but they would further decrease the value of fossil fuel assets. The analysis conducted in this report identifies strategies to encourage climate cooperation between fuel importers and exporters and to manage the risk of stranded fossil fuel assets while promoting cleaner sources of sustainable growth.

Many of the world’s lower-income countries, including those that are fragile and affected by conflict, are also reliant on fossil fuels. Such countries rely heavily on the proceeds from fossil fuel production and exports and have not yet converted their subsoil energy assets into a diversified portfolio of national wealth, especially internationally competitive produced capital. These countries need to harness the rents from their nonrenewable resources to accumulate produced and human capital in sustainable and tradable economic activities. The low-carbon transition increases the urgency of this task, but the historical record is poor. Technology and financial cooperation will be essential to support a low-carbon transition for these countries.
Just like fossil fuels, hydropower, solar, and wind energy should be assigned an explicit asset value in the national balance sheets. So far, they are not included. CWON 2021 argues that the value of renewable energy as natural capital is not reflected in the value of produced capital (such as power generation plants) or the value of land used to generate renewable electricity. Leaving renewable energy assets out of the national balance sheets misses a great deal of emerging wealth. Experimental calculations of renewable energy asset values for 15 countries for 1990–2017 show that the value of hydropower assets already matches the value of fossil fuel assets in some countries (for example, Brazil and Canada). As in other nascent industries, solar and wind energy had yet to create significant wealth for nations in 2017 (the last year for which consistent data series were available), even though renewable power plants generated profits in many markets, often with the help of subsidies. With rapidly declining costs, solar and wind resource rents are quickly approaching positive values. However, total renewable energy wealth had been declining until 2017 (figure ES.9, panel a) because the rate of growth of the volume of renewable electricity generation has outpaced the speed at which rents per unit of produced electricity are approaching positive values (figure ES.9, panel b).

The critical policies that can increase asset values include making electricity markets more competitive by removing protection of existing
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(a. Total renewable energy wealth)
Wealth Accounts as a Tool for Macroeconomic Policy and the Financial Sector

The CWON 2021 presents new analysis that shows different ways in which policy makers can better manage economic sustainability, diversification, and fiscal sustainability. One example is to use information on the evolution of different assets to see early warning signs of unsustainable growth. For example, degradation in the value of renewable natural capital has been associated with lower or declining total wealth per capita over time. Meanwhile, countries that are protecting and enhancing the value of natural assets and hence where values of renewable natural capital are rising have seen better economic performance overall. Traditional measures of economic performance conceal the impact that the different sources of GDP growth are having on degrading or enhancing the human and natural capital base for future prosperity. Measures from CWON, such as changes in wealth per capita and the adjusted net savings indicator, can provide rigorous yardsticks for policy makers. Diving deeper into the evolution of individual asset values over time provides even greater resolution into the sources of sustainable and unsustainable development.
Abundance of nonrenewable natural capital raises special challenges for economic sustainability. This is because rents—and the revenues government raise—are derived from depleting the assets. Furthermore, in addition to the traditional depletion effect, the value of fossil fuel rents is increasingly under pressure as the global economy decarbonizes. This means that fossil fuel wealth can shrink even if reserves are not depleted. Fiscal sustainability should therefore consider fossil fuel rents as an inherently unsustainable source of revenues. Macroeconomic prudence suggests that a large share of the remaining revenues from fossil fuels should be used to accumulate other sustainable assets, such as human capital and green physical infrastructure, and to enhance the value of renewable natural capital. Resource-rich countries have struggled to do this—they have on average a more negative measure of adjusted net savings compared with non-resource-rich countries. Asset diversification (Gill et al. 2014; Peszko et al. 2020)—the process of accumulation of a broad range of productive assets, to reduce dependence on fuel extraction and fuel-intensive manufacturing products—can be a pathway to sustainable prosperity, and the CWON indicators can provide a means for measuring such progress.

Few resource-rich countries have managed to achieve even traditional economic diversification, let alone asset diversification. Producing and exporting large quantities of nonrenewable resources can constrain the rest of the economy—a phenomenon known as the Dutch disease. Resource exports make it difficult to build value in other export sectors due to local currency appreciation leading to increased local costs. CWON 2021 presents evidence that the average level of human capital per capita is lower in resource-rich countries compared with non-resource-rich countries. CWON 2021 finds that the distribution of human capital between men and women in resource-rich countries is more unequal compared with non-resource-rich countries and that human capital is more skewed toward the public sector.

The CWON and wealth accounting can help financial markets assess the utility of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) frameworks as part of decision-making for sustainable development. Wealth data are uniquely suited to inform sovereign ESG scores because the wealth accounts put a dollar value on resources, adopt a forward-looking perspective, and have a long history of curated data that is comparable across 23 years and 146 countries. As wealth accounting reflects the natural resource’s long-term economic benefits, it can complement purely environmental indicators for decision-makers. Adoption of the wealth data has been constrained by their five-year frequency and late availability. The new wealth data in this edition of the CWON (see box ES.2) raise the updating frequency to annual. Econometric and machine-learning methods, combined with new remote-sensed data sources, can in the future help increase the wealth data to higher frequencies and subnational resolutions. This will allow for new applications of the wealth accounts.
BOX ES.2 What’s New in CWON 2021?

Expanded Coverage of Natural Capital

This edition of the CWON expands the coverage of natural capital by including components of blue natural capital in the core wealth accounts for the first time. Blue natural capital includes the accounts for marine fisheries and mangroves, which are valued for their coastal protection service, filling an important data gap in renewable natural capital. CWON 2021 also advances the rigor of asset valuation for forest ecosystem services, timber, agricultural land, and minerals, resulting in improved estimates of countries’ natural capital. CWON 2021 includes analysis of the impact of air pollution exposure on human capital through premature mortality, making the important link between environmental health risks and the accumulation of human capital. It also explores and pilots approaches for including additional asset classes in future editions of the CWON, for example, renewable energy and biosphere, at least through its climate regulatory services.

Expanded Wealth Account Data

CWON 2021 estimates wealth data for 146 countries for the years 1995 to 2018 in market exchange rates, accompanied by policy analysis to help guide policy makers in managing their nation’s wealth for sustainable prosperity. The analysis finds a critical role for governance at both national and international levels in shaping the wealth of nations, and therefore a vital role for collective action to safeguard our future prosperity.

The wealth accounts are grounded in the concepts and framework of the System of National Accounts (SNA) 2008 (EC et al. 2009) and its extension for natural capital, the System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA) Central Framework (UN et al. 2014a), and the SEEA Ecosystem Accounts (UN 2021; UN et al. 2014b). Although there has been experimentation with human capital, it is not yet part of the SNA national balance sheet.

For the first time, the CWON 2021 includes decomposition analysis of what has driven changes in wealth. For example, for fossil fuels and minerals, it examines whether changes in wealth were driven more by changes in prices, costs, production, and reserves or by other factors. Future work will seek to expand this decomposition analysis and make it more widely accessible for users.

Use of the Wealth Accounts for Policy

With substantial progress in measurement, CWON 2021 applies the lens of wealth to the analysis of asset portfolio management under risk and uncertainty. CWON 2021 does not attempt to predict the impact of rare and unexpected events that have potentially extreme or wide-ranging impacts, and which may be more frequent with expected environmental crises, such as climate change and biodiversity loss, and surprises such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Instead, CWON 2021 helps understand and navigate uncertainty by providing scenarios that explore future wealth under several possible scenarios of climate change and climate policies. For human capital, CWON 2021 explores the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and air pollution. For fossil fuels, the scenario analysis identifies policy pathways to manage the risks of stranded assets through cooperative and noncooperative low-carbon growth strategies and border carbon adjustment taxes. CWON 2021 also explores how policy reforms can enhance wealth creation from natural capital such as fisheries and renewable energy.

Conventional measures of fiscal sustainability overlook important wealth considerations, such as the depletion and degradation of natural capital. Comprehensive wealth accounts can shed light on the sustainability of fiscal policies and management. For example, the source of government revenues may be unsustainable if it comes from extraction of nonrenewable assets, such as fossil fuels, or if it comes from an asset that is being mismanaged.
Looking Ahead

While CWON 2021 has made significant progress, much work remains to be done. This edition includes pilots and discussion of where it is feasible to expand wealth coverage in future editions and to make wealth accounts even more comprehensive.

Renewable energy and water should be added to the core CWON accounts, depending on data availability. This volume provides a proof of concept that renewable energy can be part of the national balance sheets and develops experimental renewable energy accounts for a sample of 15 countries.

Although the analysis considers the potential impacts of climate change on asset value, CWON does not yet include the value of carbon retention or sequestration services as part of wealth embedded in biological ecosystems (for example, forests, soils, and oceans). Nor does it subtract the social cost of carbon from fossil fuels. There are ample cross-country data available to measure physical carbon balances but no final agreement about how to account for the value of climate regulation services in the SEEA.

The CWON team will pursue opportunities to capture how social capital and biodiversity influence the value of assets in the core accounts. These advances are somewhat different in nature. Biodiversity and social capital are what Dasgupta (2021) refers to as enabling characteristics of assets, a quality that gives value to other assets, rather than assets as such. Social capital may not easily be made part of the core monetary accounts, but new techniques to measure social capital can provide essential, complementary indicators to changes in total wealth per capita. Chapter 15 in this volume takes stock of what we know about measuring the economic
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**BOX ES.2 What’s New in CWON 2021?** (continued)

such as taxation of an overfished fisheries sector. By introducing information on the assets underlying government revenue sources, the wealth accounts can help guide more sustainable policy making, including via fiscal management.

**Comparison of Wealth across Countries Using Purchasing Power Parities and Market Exchange Rates**

For the first time, CWON 2021 looks at the unequal distribution of wealth across countries using purchasing power parities (PPPs) in addition to market exchange rates (MERs). MERs have limitations for understanding how material well-being varies across countries, because one US dollar can purchase different amounts of goods and services across countries. While this analysis is still experimental, the initial results show that South Asia’s share of PPP-based global wealth is 2.3 times higher than in MERs in 2018, and Sub-Saharan Africa’s PPP-based share almost doubles. Looking at inequality across income groups, the MER-based total wealth per capita of the OECD in 2018 was 58 times greater than the low-income country average—but this gap narrows to 21 times when valued in PPPs.
implications of social capital. Further analytical work may illuminate how social capital adds value to national balance sheets.

The future work program for CWON will also consider how to better reflect the importance of biodiversity and critical natural capital in the analysis. For example, wealth accounts currently do not fully capture the impact on renewable natural capital where losses and degradation have brought ecosystems to the point of potentially irreversible thresholds, which may precipitate catastrophic events on a scale that escapes the conceptual apparatus of traditional economics. The CWON accounts have provided new ways to measure sustainability in the context of material well-being. However, changes in wealth per capita provide only a measure of “weak” sustainability that implicitly assumes a high degree of substitutability among different asset classes. The emergence of multiple global crises, such as biodiversity loss, climate change, and ocean pollution, is a strong wake-up call about the limits to replacing critical ecosystem services with human-made substitutes.

To date, the CWON accounts have reported measures of wealth at the national level and at annual time intervals. However, improvements in data, including via remote sensing methods, open possibilities for greater spatial and temporal measurement of wealth. Future editions of CWON may be able to explore increased spatial and temporal granularity to meet the needs of different stakeholders, especially investors and financial markets, and to improve the targeting of policy interventions for sustainable wealth management. For example, by breaking down the wealth accounts at subnational levels of analysis, policy makers can see how unequal the distribution of wealth and different assets is across the country, and how that has evolved over time. Enhancing the valuation of some assets to monthly or even daily reporting could support new applications and analysis, such as those in the financial sector, which typically utilizes high-frequency information.

Limitations in the estimates of produced capital and human capital may be addressed in future editions. It would be useful to disaggregate produced capital by public and private sectors, and International Monetary Fund estimates (IMF 2019) could be incorporated in future editions of the CWON. Another improvement could include reflection of the impact of natural catastrophes on the value of produced assets. Produced capital is measured as the sum of investment minus normal depreciation, and its value is not routinely adjusted in national balance sheets for losses from catastrophic events. A study by the United Kingdom’s Office for National Statistics (ONS 2019) finds that normal depreciation rates that have been in use for many years do not reflect current depreciation, which is accelerated by the impacts of climate change. The study suggests revision. Others have called for a review of what are considered normal depreciation rates in light of the impact of climate change, which is becoming the “new normal.”

CWON 2021 describes some of the main findings emerging from the new, expanded wealth accounts—the most comprehensive and SNA-compatible wealth accounts available so far. The analysis and the abundance of data—which are available online—should generate new questions
about development, the dynamics of how countries accumulate wealth, and how to promote efficient, equitable, and sustainable use of wealth. Sustainability into the 21st century will depend on building and managing a much broader asset base than the one that galvanized progress since the industrial revolution. New challenges require new concepts, data, and tools in economics. CWON 2021 proposes some of them.

Note

1. A nonlinear change is one that is not based on a simple proportional relationship between an independent variable and a dependent variable. Nonlinear phenomena often show unexpected changes that are difficult to predict. Tail risks are the events with potentially catastrophic consequences but small probabilities of occurring. When tails grow fat it mean that these probabilities increase (Weitzman 2014).
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