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### Abbreviations and Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>Clean Air Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAAQM</td>
<td>Continuous Ambient Air Quality Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>Metropolitan Environmental Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEEW</td>
<td>Council on Energy, Environment and Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Continuous Emissions Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNG</td>
<td>Compressed Natural Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCEW</td>
<td>Council on Energy, Environment and Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPCB</td>
<td>Central Pollution Control Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EKC</td>
<td>Environmental Kuznets Curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>United States Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPCA</td>
<td>Environmental Pollution (Prevention and Control) Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>Gross Domestic Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGP</td>
<td>Indo-Gangetic Plain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIASA</td>
<td>International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JJJ</td>
<td>Jing-Jin-Ji</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPG</td>
<td>Liquefied Petroleum Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCMA</td>
<td>Mexico City Metropolitan Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoEFCC</td>
<td>Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAAQS</td>
<td>National Ambient Air Quality Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAMP</td>
<td>National Air Quality Monitoring Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCR</td>
<td>National Capital Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PICCA</td>
<td>Integrated Program against Atmospheric Pollution in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>Purchasing Power Parity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROAIRE</td>
<td>Program to Improve Air Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Supreme Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIP</td>
<td>State Implementation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPCB</td>
<td>State Pollution Control Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>Union Territory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTP</td>
<td>Willingness to pay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All dollar amounts are in US dollars, unless otherwise indicated.
Air pollution is a major health risk, and a drag on a country’s development.

Air pollution presents an increasingly apparent challenge to health and development across the globe (see Figure ES1). In 2015, the latest year for which global coverage of air quality is available, about 94 percent of the world’s people resided in areas for which the average annual PM$_{2.5}$ exceeded the World Health Organization’s (WHO) guideline value. This challenge is only growing in a number of low and lower-middle income countries. Air quality has deteriorated across many of these countries since the 1990s, and their population is being exposed to increasing and unhealthy levels of ambient PM$_{2.5}$, small particulates with a diameter of less than 2.5 microns, about one-thirtieth the width of a human hair. Exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ can cause such deadly illnesses as lung cancer, stroke, and heart disease, and the WHO has recommended that people should not be exposed to concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ pollution higher than 10 micrograms per cubic meter ($\mu$g/m$^3$) on average each year (WHO, 2005). In 2015, the mean annual exposure for countries in South Asia, and in the Middle East and North Africa was 77 $\mu$g/m$^3$, almost eight times the WHO guideline values.

Exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ is a major health risk. Worldwide, an estimated 4.13-5.39 million people died prematurely in 2015, due to exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ pollution (WHO, 2018).
2017 from exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ pollution. About 8 percent of all attributable deaths globally in 2017 were thus linked to PM$_{2.5}$ pollution (Figure ES2), more than the number of people who died from HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria combined.\(^1\)

The health impacts of pollution also represent a heavy cost to the economy. Lost labor income due to fatal illness from PM$_{2.5}$ pollution globally in 2017 was in the range of US$ 131-317 billion,\(^2\) equal in magnitude to about 0.1-0.3 percent of GDP. Beyond reduced labor earnings, when the broader costs of fatal illness to people’s wellbeing are measured—following a method adopted by public agencies in many countries—the damages from PM$_{2.5}$ pollution are equal in magnitude to 1.9 percent of GDP. Air pollution is also likely reducing agricultural productivity. One study found that ozone and black carbon (emitted mostly from household cookstoves) cut yields of wheat and rice by about 33 percent and 22 percent, respectively, in India’s largest producing states in 2010. Lower yields have translated into an annual loss of 24 million tons of harvested wheat alone, worth about US$ 5 billion (Burney and Ramanathan, 2015).

Countries appear to follow growth paths with different levels of pollution intensity, suggesting that policy decisions, investments, and technologies all have an important role to play in affecting the pollution intensity of growth, and that countries cannot simply grow their way out of pollution.

Figure ES3 (left panel) illustrates the relationship between mean annual PM$_{2.5}$ exposure and the level of income, as measured by GDP per capita, for large middle-income countries from 1990 to 2015.

Pollution in the countries shown in the lower part of the figure appears to have already reached a turning point. On the other hand, as seen in the upper left of the figure, India, Nepal, and Pakistan appear to be on an entirely different, more pollution-intensive path, with no obvious turning point. More granular state-level analysis reveals that India’s pollution-intensive growth pattern is driven primarily by trends in the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP), including in Bihar, Delhi, Haryana, Jharkhand, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, and West Bengal. These seven states had the highest elasticities of PM$_{2.5}$ with respect to income.

---

**FIGURE ES2: Air pollution is the fourth largest health risk globally (All cause mortality globally in 2017)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Risk</th>
<th>Share of All-cause Mortality Globally in 2017 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic risks</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary risks</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air pollution (PM$_{2.5}$)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child and maternal malnutrition</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASH</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low physical activity</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** “Air pollution (PM$_{2.5}$)” includes ambient PM$_{2.5}$ pollution and household PM$_{2.5}$ pollution from cooking with solid fuels; “WASH” includes unsafe water, sanitation, and handwashing.

**Source:** Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, Global Burden of Disease Study 2017 (2018); Stanaway et al. (2018).

---

1 The range represents the 95-percent uncertainty interval. Estimates are from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017 (GBD 2017) and include exposure to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ pollution as well as PM$_{2.5}$ in households cooking with solid fuels (Stanaway et al., 2018).

2 The estimates of the costs of pollution in terms of lost labor income and welfare loss are based on the methodology used in World Bank-IHME (2016) and detailed in Narain and Sall (2016). Damages are expressed in constant US dollars at purchasing power parity (PPP) and year 2011 prices. Both the costs of fatal illness from ambient PM$_{2.5}$ and household PM$_{2.5}$ from cooking with solid fuels are included.
Figure ES3: India is on a pollution-intensive growth path, driven by states in the Indo-Gangetic Plain (GDP per capita versus mean annual ambient PM$_{2.5}$ in select large middle-income countries, 1990-2015)

Source: Global Burden of Disease Study 2016 data provided by Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME); estimates by IHME (2017), van Donkelaar et al. (2016), and Shaddick et al. (2018); GDP per capita data from World Bank, World Development Indicators database.

Figure ES4: High pollution intensive growth path is not the norm (Change in mean annual PM$_{2.5}$ from 1990 to 2015 for low- and middle-income countries with average annual GDP per capita growth of at least 3 percent)

Source: Global Burden of Disease Study 2016 data provided by Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME); estimates by IHME (2017), van Donkelaar et al. (2016), and Shaddick et al. (2018); GDP per capita data from World Bank, World Development Indicators database.
(that is, the largest increases in pollution per unit increase in income)—although at markedly different levels of per capita income. Bihar and Jharkhand had the lowest levels of GDP per capita in the country, while Delhi and Haryana had the highest (see right panel of Figure ES3).

The high pollution intensity of economic growth for South Asian countries is not the norm. Many low- and middle-income countries that have experienced rapid income growth have achieved a reduction in ambient PM$_{2.5}$. Only six of the 42 low- and middle-income countries with average annual rates of GDP per capita growth higher than 3 percent between 1990 and 2015 saw air quality deteriorate as much as it did in India. All the countries with GDP per capita growth rates higher than India saw smaller increases or decreases in ambient PM$_{2.5}$ (see Figure ES4).

These trends suggest that policy decisions, investments, and technologies have a role to play in bending, flattening or shifting the Environmental Kuznets Curve – the notion that pollution first worsens and then improves at higher levels of income as a country develops. The experiences of three cities – Mexico City, Beijing, and Delhi – offers some lessons on how countries can tackle the growing challenge of air pollution.

There is no silver bullet, and air pollution will only be tackled through sustained political commitment. Information, incentives, and institutions are the three prongs of an effective air pollution management strategy for any country (see Figure ES5).

**Information: adequate and accessible**

Data on air pollution concentrations and its health implications, on sources of pollution, on violations of regulations, etc. are critical to the design and implementation of air quality programs. Expanding air quality monitoring networks, supporting public disclosure of information on air quality levels, and raising awareness on the health and other economic costs of air pollution have been found to increase demand for action. In Mexico City, for example, careful analysis of the impacts of air pollution on the health of children helped galvanize public support for the city’s first air quality management strategy. In Delhi too, overall public support and awareness about the health impacts of air pollution contributed to the government throwing its support behind the CNG conversion program (Bell et al. 2004). The India National Air Quality Index program, initiated in 2015, is an important step towards supporting action. Additionally, apart from better monitoring data, it is important to improve data to inform air quality action plans, which, in turn, require data on pollution sources and cost effectiveness of different policy interventions. Data on emissions and sources of pollution allow policymakers to build models to assess expected improvements from current and planned policy interventions, to set measurable targets and to identify strategies to meet targets in a cost-effective manner, and monitor progress. Finally, timely and accessible data can support enforcement of regulations. Installing emissions monitors in large industrial facilities and power plants and making these data public can help hold local regulators and plant operators accountable for upholding environmental standards, as has been the case in China. India’s policy requiring polluting industries to install Online Continuous Emissions/Effluent Monitoring Systems will similarly improve enforcement of existing regulations.

**Incentives: mainstreamed**

Countries need a strong regulatory mechanism to ensure that states and cities are incentivized to implement policies and programs to reduce air pollution. Be it carrot- or stick-based, a mechanism to incentivize implementation of air quality management plans is needed. An examination of the role of the government and the Supreme Court in the efforts to clean Delhi’s air points to a pattern
of dependence on the courts for compliance. Time and time again, the government announced measures to reduce pollution but did not follow through on implementation. The Supreme Court then weighed in to force the government to implement the policy measures it had previously announced. Should the Supreme Court continue to play this role, or can a stronger legal framework provide a mechanism to incentivize governments to implement policies designed to tackle air pollution? Sanction powers granted to the United States Environment Protection Agency (EPA) under the United States’ Clean Air Act (CAA) offer some lessons to countries on how to incentivize implementation. As per the provisions of the CAA, if an area or city is found to have pollution levels above acceptable standards, they are required to prepare and submit to the EPA a State Implementation Plan (SIP). The SIP provides a time bound set of measures, potentially to be imposed on industry, transportation, etc., that are necessary to achieve compliance with air quality standards. The CAA, however, includes additional provisions to enforce SIP implementation. Namely, if the state fails to submit an acceptable plan or fails to implement the measures of an approved plan, the CAA empowers EPA to impose one of two sanctions: (i) withholding certain federal highway funds by prohibiting the Secretary of Transportation from awarding funds from the Federal-aid Highway Program; or (ii) imposing a “2:1 offset” requirement on new sources of emissions such that new sources are granted permits to establish and operate only if they agree to offset every unit of emission by reduction of two units of emission elsewhere, a requirement that imposes a heavy cost on new facilities and discourages development. The US Clean Air Act also provides a “carrot”: section 105 of the Act authorizes the federal government to provide grants equal up to 60 percent of the cost of state air quality management programs. Currently, federal funds on average provide 25 percent of the funding needs of state air programs. Finally, the recently announced performance-based grants to Indian cities as part of India’s Fifteenth Finance Commission recommendations is a step in the right direction to create a mechanism to incentivize cities to act.

While enforcement of regulations is essential, it is not enough; incentives should also be provided to support compliance, and these can entail substantial fiscal outlays. In China, between 2013 and 2017, the central government provided US$ 9.29 billion in special funds and budgetary resources to support air quality management in the region, including Beijing and the surrounding provinces and cities. These financial resources were used to support a variety of incentive programs, including subsidies for end-of-pipe controls and boiler retrofits in power plants and factories, rebates for scrapping older vehicles, and payments to households switching out coal-fired heating stoves for gas or electric systems. Provinces, moreover, committed their own resources and used their own and centrally-allocated funds to leverage additional financing from the private sector to the order of US$ 2.96 billion. In the mid-2000s, Mexico City provided direct subsidies to drivers of old taxis in exchange for retiring and scrapping their old vehicles, along with access to low-cost loans for vehicle renovations or purchase of more efficient vehicles. Similarly, a range of incentives were offered to encourage industrial enterprises to make the switch from fuel oil to natural gas and to install emissions control equipment. Fiscal incentives and exemptions from emergency restrictions were included which require industrial plants to curtail their production when air pollution reaches high levels.

Institutions: fit-for-purpose

The multi-jurisdictional nature of air pollution requires an institutional setup that reaches across individual jurisdictions – an airshed-based management approach. Because air pollution travels across administrative boundaries, and pollution sources are located both inside and outside any given city, an airshed-based management approach that cuts across jurisdictions is essential to achieving results. In other words, to effectively address the sources of pollution, air quality should be managed at the same scale as the problem. The Jing-Jin-Ji (JJJ) Regional Air Quality Prevention and Control Coordination Group was established in China to achieve cross-jurisdictional coordination. The group has high-level participation from all administrative entities in the JJJ region, including the Beijing City Governor, Tianjin City Governor, and Hebei Provincial Governor, as well as leading officials from the relevant sectoral ministries, including the Ministry of Housing and Urban Development, Ministry of Transportation, Ministry of Agriculture, and so on. The group is led by the State Council, China’s highest governmental body. The

---

3 An airshed is a part of the atmosphere that behaves in a coherent way with respect to the dispersion of emissions.
group is responsible for formulating targets and annual implementation plans for air quality management across the JJJ region and setting policies for cross-jurisdictional issues such as fuel standards, energy supply, and public transportation. Provincial and city-level governments continued to be the primary implementers for these air quality management programs, however. A similar role is played by the Megalopolis Environmental Commission in Mexico, which brings together federal authorities from the ministries of environment, health, and transport with local authorities from Mexico City and 224 municipalities from the neighboring states of Mexico, Hidalgo, Morelos, Puebla, and Tlaxcala, which jointly define an airshed for Mexico City.

Air pollution management strategies need to be integrated into multi-sector development plans, and an institutional set up is similarly required to facilitate this, to match the cross-sectoral nature of the air pollution challenge. To be effective, air quality management activities need to be embedded in national and state development plans, and not just in standalone air quality management plans. Notably, three significant sources of pollution in India – residential biomass burning, agricultural emissions, and dust – do not fall under the direct purview of pollution boards. Programs such as the Pradhan Mantri Ujjwala Yojana that has expanded access to clean cooking fuels, most notably LPG, thereby reducing the reliance on residential biomass burning, is essential to efforts to reduce air pollution. Such a program goes well beyond the mandate of a pollution control board and gets to the heart of how development programs are designed. Similarly, reducing emissions from power generation and small and medium enterprises will entail increasing the use of natural gas and renewable energy and goes well beyond the provisions of the Indian Air Act to prescribe and enforce emission standards for power plants and industry. In China, for example, the ministries of Environmental Protection (now the Ministry of Ecology and Environment), Industry and Information Technology, Finance, Housing and Rural Development, along with the National Development and Reform Commission and National Energy Administration, joined together to issue a five-year action plan for air pollution prevention and control for the entire JJJ airshed. Their joint efforts led to the dramatic reduction in coal use in the JJJ region.
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1. Air pollution is one of the leading risks to public health. One of the most dangerous forms of air pollution is very fine particulates that are capable of penetrating deep into the lungs and entering the bloodstream. Known as PM$_{2.5}$, these particulates have an aerodynamic diameter of less than 2.5 microns—about one-thirtieth the width of a human hair. PM$_{2.5}$ comes in many forms, including dust, dirt, smoke, vapors, gases, microscopic liquid droplets, and heavy metals and comes from a variety of sources. Some of the most common sources include emissions from burning fossil fuels such as coal or oil and solid biomass such as wood, charcoal, or crop residues. PM$_{2.5}$ can also come from windblown dust, including natural dust as well as dust from construction sites, roads, and industrial plants. Apart from direct emissions, PM$_{2.5}$ can be formed indirectly (known as secondary PM$_{2.5}$) from chemical reactions of other pollutants such as ammonia (NH$_3$) interacting with sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$) and nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$). Exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ from any or all of these sources can cause such deadly illnesses as lung cancer, stroke, and heart disease. Worldwide, an estimated 4.13-5.39 million people died prematurely in 2017 from exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ pollution—more than the number of people who died from HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria combined.

2. In many parts of the world, the latest available data show that air pollution is far above levels that are considered healthy. The WHO has recommended as a guideline that people should not be exposed to concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ pollution higher than 10 micrograms per cubic meter ($\mu$g/m$^3$) on average each year, or 25 $\mu$g/m$^3$ on average every 24 hours (WHO 2005). The available data—drawn from a combination of monitors measuring PM$_{2.5}$ on the ground and satellites observing aerosols from space—indicate that pollution is far above healthy limits in many parts of the world. Of the 2,602 cities and towns in 89 countries for which the WHO has compiled ground-monitored data for average annual PM$_{2.5}$, about 58 percent (1,517) had concentrations above the WHO’s guideline, including 97 percent of the 581 cities and towns in low- and middle-income countries with data (WHO 2018). More broadly, incorporating satellite data to measure exposure in areas for which monitoring does not yet exist, Shaddick et al. (2018) estimate that about 94 percent of the world’s people reside in areas for which average annual PM$_{2.5}$ exceeded the WHO guideline value in 2015, although the severity of air pollution varies across these areas.

3. Air quality trends have been mixed across the world since the 1990s, with some areas experiencing improvement and others deterioration. The satellite data also indicate the extent to which air pollution has worsened in some regions and improved in others in recent decades. Estimates for average annual PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in 1990 and 2015 are illustrated in Figure 1. Table 1 shows average population-weighted exposure by region. Countries in the Middle East and North Africa, Sub-Saharan Africa, and South Asia have

---

4 Other pollutants that are commonly monitored to assess the quality of air include PM$_{10}$ (particulates with diameter 10 microns or smaller), Carbon Monoxide (CO), Nitrogen Dioxide (NO$_2$), Sulphur Dioxide (SO$_2$), Lead (Pb), and Ozone (O$_3$).
5 Although dust may sound benign, the available evidence supports that it is still harmful to people’s health. The current practice of the WHO, the International Agency for Research on Cancer, the U.S. Environmental Protection agency in evaluating the health impacts of PM$_{2.5}$ is to include dust together with other kinds of particulates (EPA 2009; IARC 2013; WHO 2013).
6 The range represents the 95-percent uncertainty interval. Estimates are from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017 and include exposure to ambient PM$_{10}$ pollution as well as PM$_{10}$ in households cooking with solid fuels (Stanaway et al. 2018).
7 Beyond the official monitoring in cities, satellite data can help fill the gaps in creating a more complete picture of people’s exposure over time and across the entire country, including in both urban and rural areas. Satellite-flown instruments measure aerosol optical depth (AOD)—the extent to which light reflecting off the Earth’s surface is scattered by particulates and other aerosols in the atmosphere. The data on AOD are then translated into estimates of surface concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ using numerical models of atmospheric chemistry and transport. The estimates are calibrated against measurements of PM$_{2.5}$ at monitoring stations.
8 Data are for the latest year available for measured PM$_{2.5}$ by city or town.
9 Estimates are based on gridded data for average annual PM$_{2.5}$ estimated for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016, as provided to the authors by the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. These satellite-based estimates have been calibrated using ground measurements of PM from more than 6,000 stations in 117 countries.
experienced the most pronounced deterioration in air quality over this time period. North America is the only region that meets WHO guidelines for pollution concentration levels.

4. Poor air quality in many regions is taking a heavy toll on the health of populations, as the number of deaths each year attributed to PM2.5 exposure continues to rise. In 2017, exposure to PM2.5 pollution

![Figure 1: Mean annual ambient PM2.5 pollution across the world, 1990-2015](image)

**TABLE 1:** Mean annual population-weighted exposure to ambient PM2.5 per region, 1990-2015 (micrograms per cubic meter, mean estimate and 95-percent uncertainty interval)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>1990 (mean, 95% UI)</th>
<th>2005 (mean, 95% UI)</th>
<th>2015 (mean, 95% UI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Asia and Pacific</td>
<td>38 (25-58)</td>
<td>44 (29-65)</td>
<td>43 (28-63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe and Central Asia</td>
<td>24 (13-43)</td>
<td>17 (10-29)</td>
<td>19 (11-33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America and Caribbean</td>
<td>21 (12-34)</td>
<td>22 (13-35)</td>
<td>18 (11-28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East and North Africa</td>
<td>52 (27-95)</td>
<td>50 (25-93)</td>
<td>77 (39-143)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>11 (7-16)</td>
<td>10 (7-15)</td>
<td>9 (6-13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>60 (38-91)</td>
<td>66 (42-99)</td>
<td>77 (49-116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>49 (21-106)</td>
<td>42 (17-92)</td>
<td>65 (26-142)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Values in parentheses indicate the 95-percent uncertainty interval.

**Source:** Global Burden of Disease Study 2016 data provided by Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME); estimates by IHME (2017), van Donkelaar et al. (2016), and Shaddick et al. (2018).
Countries usually establish air quality standards for pollutants to define “acceptable” levels of air pollution. India’s National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) establish a legal limit of 40 \(\mu g/m^3\) for average annual PM\(_{2.5}\) and 60 \(\mu g/m^3\) for 24-hour concentrations.

Monitoring of PM\(_{2.5}\) in India’s cities began only within the past three to four years, making it difficult to assess the full extent and severity of PM\(_{2.5}\) pollution. As of 2017, only around 150 of the country’s some 4,000 cities and towns were covered and monitoring of PM\(_{2.5}\) in rural areas is virtually non-existent, though efforts are underway to expand the network. In 2017, average population-weighted PM\(_{2.5}\) concentrations in the

**FIGURE B1.1: Monitored PM\(_{2.5}\) pollution in 47 Indian cities, January-November 2018**

Notes: Data for 47 cities are aggregated from 88 automated stations in the national Continuous Ambient Air Quality Monitoring (CAAQM) network; only stations with data for at least 300 days from January to November 2018 are included; the annual mean is averaged from the 24-hour mean concentrations measured at each station in accordance with the national standard.

Source: 15-minute and hourly monitoring station data from India’s Central Pollution Control Board, available at http://www.cpcb.gov.in/CAAQM and https://app.cpcbccr.com/cct/#/caaqm-dashboard-all/caaqm-landing; station data from earlier dates have been collected and archived by OpenAQ, https://openaq.org/.
monitored cities was 73 µg/m³. Only one-third of the cities met the national standard for average annual PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations; none of the locations with continuous monitoring met the national 24-hour standard; and none of the monitored locations met the WHO guidelines. In 2018, the trends remained largely consistent, with the highest levels of PM$_{2.5}$ being experienced by cities in the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP) (Figure B1.1).

Average PM$_{2.5}$ for cities in the IGP during the first 11 months of 2018 ranged from 53 µg/m³ in Ludhiana, Punjab to 161 µg/m³ in Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh. Cities in the IGP and western India frequently experienced days when PM$_{2.5}$ averaged over 200 µg/m³. Pollution levels in cities in southern India tended to be far lower and without the extreme day-to-day variation seen in the IGP, although still higher than acceptable limits.

Satellite data provide a more complete picture, across the country and over time, as shown in figure B1.2. These data reinforce the spatial disparities in air quality across the regions but also show that air quality has deteriorated across much of the country since the 1990s. According to these estimates,

---

**FIGURE B1.2:** Mean annual ambient PM$_{2.5}$ pollution across the Indo-Gangetic Plain, 1990-2015

---

Data are for 72 monitoring stations covering 40 cities that had data for at least 104 days in 2017, the minimum required by India’s National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS), out of a total of 288 stations and 137 cities in the network as of the end of 2017. Both manual stations in the National Ambient Air Monitoring Programme (NAMP) and automated stations in the Continuous Ambient Air Quality Monitoring (CAAQM) network are included. The population-weighted average is based on the city population as reported in the 2011 census. NAMP and CAAQM monitoring data are provided by the Central Pollution Control Board at [http://cpcb.nic.in/manual-monitoring/](http://cpcb.nic.in/manual-monitoring/) and [https://app.cpcbcr.com/ccr/#/caaqm-dashboard-all/caaqm-landing](https://app.cpcbcr.com/ccr/#/caaqm-dashboard-all/caaqm-landing).
resulted in 4.13-5.03 million premature deaths globally, including about 2.50-3.36 million deaths from outdoor ambient PM$_{2.5}$ and 1.40-1.93 million deaths from PM$_{2.5}$ in households cooking with solid fuels (Balikrishnan et al. 2018; Stanaway et al. 2018). In other words, about 7.4-9.0 percent of attributable deaths globally in 2017 were linked to PM$_{2.5}$ pollution (Figure 2), more than were caused by HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria combined. Furthermore, about half of the deaths attributed to PM$_{2.5}$ pollution occurred among people younger than 70 years.  

5. The health impacts of air pollution also represent a heavy cost to the economy. Public agencies in many countries have taken a variety of approaches to quantifying the economic cost of air pollution and the benefits of policies aimed at reducing pollution. One of the most widely accepted approaches applied by governments is based on individuals’ expressed willingness to pay to reduce their risk of dying. Following this approach, the economic cost of fatal illness caused by PM$_{2.5}$ pollution globally in 2017 was on the order of US$ 2.248 trillion.
to US$ 13.692 trillion (95-percent uncertainty interval), with a central estimate of US$ 4.334 trillion.\textsuperscript{14} The wide range accounts for uncertainty owing to the health impacts as well as people’s willingness to pay. In other words, even under the most conservative scenario, the annual economic cost of PM\textsubscript{2.5} pollution is equivalent in magnitude to at least 1.9 percent of the world GDP. (Costs are expressed as an equivalent percent of GDP just to provide a convenient sense of scale, not to suggest they are a direct loss of GDP. GDP is a measure of output, not economic wellbeing.) As an alternative, other governments have also measured the loss of human capital due to fatal illness. Under this approach, losses are estimated in terms of the expected labor income that people would have earned over their lifetimes had they not died prematurely. The estimated loss of income is typically much smaller than the total economic cost of fatal illness as estimated based on individuals’ willingness to pay, reflecting how people value more than just their paychecks. Alternatively, if losses are calculated only on the basis of forgone lifetime labor earnings, the expected loss of income loss due to fatal illness from PM\textsubscript{2.5} pollution in 2017 would be in the range of US$ 131-317 billion globally, with a central estimate of US$ 200 billion, equal in magnitude to 0.1-0.3 percent of GDP.\textsuperscript{15}

6. Apart from the cost of fatal illness, air pollution impacts a country’s economy in other ways too. Ground-level ozone (O\textsubscript{3}) pollution, for example, which forms when volatile organic compounds (VOCs) react with NO\textsubscript{x}, is toxic to plants and has been shown to reduce crop yields. One study found that ozone and black carbon (emitted mostly from household cookstoves) cut yields of wheat and rice by about 33 percent and 22 percent, respectively, in India’s largest producing states in 2010. Lower yields translated into a loss of 24 million tons of harvested wheat alone, worth about US$ 5 billion (Burney and Ramanathan, 2015). Elsewhere, research from China shows that air pollution is also making skilled workers in urban areas less productive (Chang et al. 2016), suggesting that worsening air quality may be dulling the competitive edge of cities too.

\textsuperscript{13} Economists use a variety of methods to elicit people’s willingness to pay (WTP). One method is through so-called stated preference surveys. When people who are surveyed tell economists how much they are willing to pay to reduce their fatality risk, they are thinking about much more than their paychecks. Losses may also reflect the loss of enjoyment that people get from intangibles such as being alive or spending time with loved ones. Another method is by looking at the differences in wages for more or less risky jobs. The estimates of the costs of pollution presented here are based primarily on findings from stated preference surveys. For a discussion of why, please refer to Narain and Sall (2016).

\textsuperscript{14} Monetary losses are reported in terms of US dollars calculated at constant year 2011 prices on a purchasing power parity (PPP) basis. Global welfare losses here represent the sum of losses as calculated for 168 countries. See World Bank-IHME (2016) for a description of how the uncertainty interval is calculated based on varying key assumptions such as WTP for reduced fatality risk using a database of WTP estimates from stated preference studies around the world. The central estimate represents the median estimate from 5,000 random draws.

\textsuperscript{15} Global estimates presented here are for 164 countries. Fewer countries have the necessary data to calculate forgone labor output than welfare losses. As above, see World Bank-IHME (2016) for a description of how the uncertainty interval is constructed.
What is the relationship between air quality and economic growth?
What is the relationship between air quality and economic growth?

1. Low income and lower-middle income countries (LICs and LMCs) have experienced deteriorating air quality since 1990, though not upper-middle and high-income countries (see Table 2). Between 1990 and 2015, mean annual PM$_{2.5}$ in LICs increased from 44 µg/m$^3$ to 56 µg/m$^3$, while mean annual PM$_{2.5}$ in the LMCs (excluding India) rose from 45 µg/m$^3$ to 59 µg/m$^3$. In India, during this time period, mean annual PM$_{2.5}$ also increased, from an average of 60 µg/m$^3$ in 1990 to 76 µg/m$^3$ in 2015. At the same time, ambient PM$_{2.5}$ stabilized in some upper-middle-income – China – and improved in others – Mexico, for example – and in high-income countries. These trends imply that the disparity in air quality between poorer and richer countries has grown over time, as further illustrated in Figure 3. In the figure, blue dots represent mean annual PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations at different levels of per capita income in 2015, and orange dots the same in 1990. A steeper trend line in 2015 illustrates the growing disparity.

### Table 2: Mean annual population-weighted exposure to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ per income group and for China, India, and Mexico, 1990-2015 (micrograms per cubic meter, mean estimate and 95-percent uncertainty interval)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Group or Country</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low income</td>
<td>44 (15-106)</td>
<td>40 (14-96)</td>
<td>56 (19-137)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India (lower middle income)</td>
<td>60 (39-89)</td>
<td>66 (42-98)</td>
<td>76 (49-112)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other lower middle income</td>
<td>45 (24-79)</td>
<td>44 (24-76)</td>
<td>59 (31-104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China (upper middle income)</td>
<td>48 (31-72)</td>
<td>57 (38-83)</td>
<td>56 (38-82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico (upper middle income)</td>
<td>25 (15-37)</td>
<td>26 (16-39)</td>
<td>19 (12-28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other upper middle income</td>
<td>26 (15-45)</td>
<td>23 (13-39)</td>
<td>25 (14-44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High income</td>
<td>18 (11-26)</td>
<td>16 (10-23)</td>
<td>19 (12-29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Values in parentheses indicate the 95-percent uncertainty interval; data for China, India, and Mexico are highlighted in grey; income group classifications are as of the 2015 calendar year.

Source: Global Burden of Disease Study 2016 data provided by Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME); estimates by IHME (2017), van Donkelaar et al. (2016), and Shaddick et al. (2018); GNI per capita data from World Bank, World Development Indicators database.
2. These trends would seem to reinforce that the experience of any country is part of a larger trend consistent with the so-called “Environmental Kuznets Curve”. Named after Simon Kuznets, who theorized that income inequality initially worsens and then improves at higher levels of income as a country develops (Kuznets, 1955), the notion that pollution and income also form an inverted U-shaped curve was first popularized in the early 1990s and is referred to as the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC). The possibility that a country’s development path follows an EKC, raises the question whether poor air quality is a reflection of a country’s low level of development. Will countries simply grow their way out of pollution?

3. Large middle-income countries appear to be on very different growth paths when assessed by the level of pollution intensity. Figure 4 illustrates the relationship between mean annual PM$_{2.5}$ exposure and the level of income, as measured by GDP per capita, for large middle-income countries from 1990 to 2015. A few countries – China and Mexico among them – appear to follow the inverted U-shaped curve, where pollution first increases as the country grows. These countries appear to have reached a turning point, though at different levels of income per capita, after which pollution started to fall. Number of other countries are yet to reach a turning point and mean annual PM$_{2.5}$ is increasing in these countries along with income. Among this group of countries, countries in South Asia – India, Nepal, and Pakistan – stand out and appear to have a more pollution-intensive growth path than countries of similar income levels, where pollution intensity is measured by the steepness of the curve. Econometric analysis further reveals that income elasticity of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ is systematically higher in the South Asia region than for other regions, even after accounting for differences in economic structure, demographics, energy supply, and natural characteristics such as topography and climate (see Annex).

4. Trends at the state-level within India also suggest that economic growth has been much more pollution-intensive in some parts of the country than in others. Figure 5 compares GDP per capita in purchasing power parity (PPP) terms with mean annual exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ in the various states and regions of India. Trends for China and Mexico are shown for comparison. As the figure reveals, India’s pollution-intensive growth pattern is driven primarily by trends in states of the IGP, including Bihar, Delhi, Haryana, Jharkhand, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, and West Bengal. These seven states had the highest elasticities of PM$_{2.5}$ with respect to income, although at markedly different levels of per capita income: Bihar and Jharkhand had the lowest levels of GDP per capita in the country, while Delhi and Haryana had the highest. Other than states in the IGP, states in Central India, and Western states appear to be on more pollution-intensive development paths. Box 2 explores the reasons underlying these somewhat paradoxical trends.

---

16 One of the first empirical studies to suggest the existence of an EKC was a 1991 paper by Grossman and Krueger. Responding to concerns that environmental quality in Mexico might suffer if its economy was opened to polluting industries under the North American Free Trade Agreement, Grossman and Krueger (1991) tested how air quality in a cross-section of cities in more than 40 countries varied across different levels of GDP per capita and trade openness (exports as a share of GDP). They found that average concentrations of SO$_2$ and smoke tended to increase with GDP per capita in the lowest-income countries but then fell as GDP continued to increase beyond a certain level.
FIGURE 5: Mean annual PM$_{2.5}$ pollution and GDP per capita in various regions of India, 1990-2015

Notes: “PPP” = purchasing power parity.
Sources: Ambient PM$_{2.5}$ exposure data from Global Burden of Disease Study 2016, as provided by IHME; estimates by IHME (2017), van Donkelaar et al. (2016), and Shaddick et al. (2018); gridded GDP data from Kummu et al. (2018).
BOX 2: Explaining two paradoxes about pollution intensity of India’s growth path

State-level trends of air pollution and economic growth shown in Figure 5 reveal two seeming paradoxes about India’s growth path. First, how can some states with the lowest GDP per capita and slowest rates of economic growth also suffer from the worst air pollution? Such high levels of air pollution are commonly associated with the processes of industrialization and urbanization, which tend to occur at relatively higher levels of income per capita than the current levels in the poorest states of the IGP. Second, how can states such as Delhi, Haryana, and Punjab have high levels of pollution despite being relatively rich? As shown in Figure 4, countries at income levels comparable to these three states were able to improve their air quality while continuing to grow. Why have these three states not been able to do the same?

Recent studies examining how emissions from different sectors have contributed to overall ambient concentrations of pollution in the air that people breathe across Indian states reinforce the cross-sector and cross-border nature of pollution in India, offering an explanation for the paradoxes. Analysis by the Council on Energy, Environment and Water (CEEW) and International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA) sheds light on the contribution of different sectors and different regions to poor air quality in a particular region. Figure B2.1 shows that residential emissions from households using solid fuels for heating and cooking, emission from industry, power plants, agriculture, and transport, and natural dust all contribute to poor air quality, though to differing levels in different states. It also points to the significant contribution of secondary PM$_{2.5}$ formed by reactions involving other pollutants. Because PM$_{2.5}$ can remain suspended in the atmosphere for

**FIGURE B2.1:** State-wise origin of mean annual population-weighted ambient PM$_{2.5}$ by sector, 2015

![State-wise origin of mean annual population-weighted ambient PM$_{2.5}$ by sector, 2015](image-url)

long periods of time and travel hundreds or thousands of kilometers, PM$_{2.5}$ emissions can cross state boundaries. Figure B2.2 captures this characteristic feature of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations finding that states and Union Territories (UTs) with the greatest amount of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ originating from other states and regions in India include Bihar, Delhi, Haryana, Jharkhand, and Odisha. These results suggest that individual states acting in isolation are unlikely to solve their air quality problems on their own.

The high levels of pollution at relatively low levels of development in four IGP states and the high level of pollution in the three IGP states with the highest GDP per capita can be explained by a combination of factors that follow from the contribution of the different sources to air pollution and regional nature of the challenge.

Agricultural intensification, high population density, a high reliance on residential biomass, and regional sources of PM$_{2.5}$ from other states and areas help explain the high levels of pollution in the IGP at relatively low levels of economic development. Low levels of development are characterized by a high share of agriculture in the economy and certain consumption patterns, such as a high degree of dependence on biomass for cooking and heating. In fact, most of India’s IGP is covered by cropland (about 85 percent of the land area), scattered with a few large (7) and medium-sized (22) cities and many smaller cities (37) and towns (648). The agriculture sector is an important contributor to the economy as well as PM$_{2.5}$ pollution, with intensive farming, high fertilizer use, and the seasonal burning of crop residues. Consequently, Chakraborty and Gupta (2010) found
that secondary sources (with NH₃ from agriculture reacting with NOₓ and SO₂) contributed about 40 percent of PM₁.₅ concentrations in the city of Kanpur in Uttar Pradesh. High population density in rural areas compounds the problem, with households continuing to depend primarily on biomass for cooking and heating. Apart from Tamil Nadu and Kerala, the states in the IGP have the highest population density of any in the country. High population density and low levels of development also make small township-based industries an important source. Finally, due to features of the IGP’s geography and climate, the dispersion of pollution is weak, particularly in the winter months, and pollution from upwind areas is funneled into the region, adding to the challenge.

The same factors, combined with greater emissions from motor vehicles, further help to explain why India’s states with the highest levels of GDP per capita—Delhi, Haryana, and Punjab—also experience some of the worst pollution. Studies in the Delhi National Capital Region (NCR) have found that vehicular emissions contribute about 23-25 percent of ambient PM₁.₅ in the winter and about 19 percent of mean annual ambient PM₁.₅. Also, although cooking and heating with liquified petroleum gas (LPG), electricity, and natural gas is more common in urban districts of the NCR and surrounding areas, the three states also continue to have a high density of households reliant on solid fuels. Furthermore, as in the other states in the IGP, agriculture continues to be an important economic sector for Punjab and Haryana, with farming characterized by greater intensification, higher fertilizer use, and the seasonal burning of crop residues. Regional sources of air pollution also contribute a large share of overall ambient PM₁.₅ concentrations, with as much as 60 percent of Delhi’s pollution coming from neighboring states (Amman et al. 2016).

5. The high pollution intensity of economic growth for South Asian countries is not the norm. Many low- and middle-income countries that have experienced rapid income growth have achieved a reduction in ambient PM₁.₅. Only six of the 42 low and middle-income countries with average annual rates of GDP per capita growth higher than 3 percent between 1990 and 2015 saw air quality deteriorate as much as it did in India. All the countries with GDP per capita growth rates higher than India saw smaller increases or decreases in ambient PM₁.₅ (see Figure 6).

**FIGURE 6:** Mean annual PM₁.₅ in 2015 (left) and change in mean annual PM₁.₅ from 1990 to 2015 (right) for low- and middle-income countries with average annual GDP per capita growth of at least 3 percent

Source: Global Burden of Disease Study 2016 data provided by Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME); estimates by IHME (2017), van Donkelaar et al. (2016), and Shaddick et al. (2018); GDP per capita data from World Bank, World Development Indicators database.
6. These trends reinforce the role of policies and programs in affecting the shape of the relationship between economic growth and pollution, suggesting that countries cannot simply grow their way out of pollution. Although the existence of the EKC continues to be debated in the academic literature (see Stern 2015 for a recent review), critics have rightly questioned its shaky foundation. Policy decisions, investments, technologies, and external shocks can bend, flatten, or shift the curve (Payanotou 1997; Unruh and Moomaw 1998; Dasgupta et al. 2002), meaning that countries cannot simply rely on economic growth to produce a cleaner environment in the end.17

17 Indeed, this point was raised by one of the earliest EKC studies, published in 1992 by World Bank economists Nemat Shafik and Sushenjit Bandyopadhyay, and is worth revisiting. Shafik and Bandyopadhyay tracked the relationship of income, investment, trade, debt and other macroeconomic characteristics with a variety of indicators for environmental quality, including mean annual concentrations of TSP in cities. Looking at trends in urban air quality across countries from the 1970s to the 1980s, they found evidence of an inverted U-shaped relationship of TSP with income—like that observed for SO$_2$ and smoke by Grossman and Krueger (1991). They conclude: “The evidence suggests that it is possible to ‘grow out of’ some environmental problems. But there is nothing automatic about this—policies and investments must be made to reduce degradation” (Shafik and Bandyopadhyay 1992: 23). As they note, not all indicators of environmental quality improved at higher income levels: carbon emissions and municipal waste per capita, for example, increased exponentially.
Tackling air pollution: Lessons from Mexico City, Beijing, and Delhi
1. Role of policies, technologies, and investments
Come to light when one looks at the experience of
many countries, and urban agglomerations within
them, that have successfully tackled the air pollution
challenge. Three cities -- Mexico City, Beijing, and Delhi
-- offer lessons for other cities as they take on the fight to
reduce air pollution.

3A. AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT IN
MEXICO CITY

2. Mexico City suffered from hazardous air pollution
in the 1980s and 1990s, as a result of rapid population
growth, industrialization, and motorization. In
1992, a comparison of key pollutants – lead (Pb), sulfur
dioxide (SO2) and total suspended particles (TSP) –
across several mega cities in Mexico led the World Health
Organization and the United Nations Environment
Program to conclude that Mexico City was the most
polluted megalopolis on the planet (WHO-UNEP 1992).
Monitoring data for these pollutants and nitrogen oxide
(NOx) and ozone (O3) collected in the city beginning
in 1986 showed that pollution frequently exceeded
national standards and the WHO air quality guidelines
(see Figure 7).

3. Facing a public health crisis, the Government
of Mexico launched the first multi-year air quality
management strategy in 1990. At this time Mexico City
was known as the Federal District and was administered
by the Chief of the Federal District, as part of the Federal
Administration and reporting directly to the President.
In response to the President’s call to act immediately
to abate air pollution, an inter-agency working group
was established that included representatives from the
Ministries of Urban Development and Environment,
Finance, Planning and Budget, Commerce and Industrial
Promotion, Health, Energy, Mines and State-Owned
Industries, Agriculture and Hydraulic Resources, and
Transport and Communications, as well as representatives
from the Governments of the Federal District and the
State of Mexico, municipal governments in the greater
metropolitan area, the Federal Electricity Commission,
the Mexican Institute of Petroleum, and the Mexican
state-owned petroleum company -- Pemex. The working
group developed the Integrated Program against
Atmospheric Pollution in the Mexico City Metropolitan
Area (PICCA) for 1990-1994 (GoM 1990), the first air
quality management strategy.

4. A number of measures were implemented as
part of the PICCA to reduce air pollution. Under
the PICCA, pollution abatement measures were
introduced in the greater metropolitan area, which then
encompassed Mexico City and 17 municipalities from
the State of Mexico. The biggest achievements were:
 improving fuel quality (phasing out of lead in gasoline,
introduction of oxygenated gasoline, introduction of
diesel with a maximum sulfur content of 500 PPM, and
substitution of heavy fuel oil with light fuel oil in 1991
and gasoil in 1995), installation of pollution control
technologies in vehicles (three way catalytic converters,
evaporative emission controls, and electronic fuel
injection and ignition accessories), and the establishment
of stricter vehicular pollution norms (GoM, n.d.). As
a result, Pb, carbon monoxide (CO), PM10, and SO2
emissions fell significantly, and O3 emission levels
stabilized (GoM 1996). Pollution declined dramatically
in just a few years (see Figure 7).

5. Building on lessons from the implementation of
PICCA, additional programs were introduced to
control air pollution, starting in the mid-90s. PICCA
was followed by the Program to Improve Air Quality
(PROAIRE) 1995-2000, that included for the first time in
Mexico quantitative air quality improvement goals. The
PROAIRE’s main goal was to reduce peak and average
concentrations of ozone, which had not been reduced
significantly under PICCA. Though PROAIRE succeeded
in reducing O3 levels significantly, concentrations of
O3 remained above the legal standard for more than 80
FIGURE 7: Monitored concentrations of pollutants in Mexico City compared to national standards and WHO guidelines, January 1986 to September 2018

a. Hourly NO₂

b. Mean Annual NO₂

c. Hourly O₃

d. 8-hour O₃

e. Daily (24-hour) PM₁₀

f. Mean annual PM₁₀
Notes: Data are from Mexico City’s continuous air quality monitoring network (RAMA). To provide a representative picture of trends over time, only stations with data for the full span of months and years are included, leaving three stations for NO\textsubscript{2} (MER, PED, XAL); five stations for SO\textsubscript{2} (FAC, MER, PED, TLA, XAL); one station for O\textsubscript{3} (PED); 10 stations for PM\textsubscript{10} (CES, LV1, MER, NET, PED, TAH, TLA, TLI, VIF, XAL); five stations for PM\textsubscript{2.5} (CAM, MER, SAG, TLA, UIZ); and four stations for Pb (MER, PED, TLA, XAL). NO\textsubscript{2} data are converted from parts per billion (ppb) to micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m\textsuperscript{3}) assuming reference conditions as defined in the national standard, NOM-023-SSA1-1993. SO\textsubscript{2} data are for a lagged 24-hour running average, converted from ppb to µg/m\textsuperscript{3} assuming reference conditions as defined in the national standard, NOM-022-SSA1-1993. Eight-hour O\textsubscript{3} data are for a lagged 8-hour running average. O\textsubscript{3} data are converted from ppb to µg/m\textsuperscript{3} assuming reference conditions as defined in the national standard, NOM-020-SSA1-2014. Twenty-four-hour PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} data are for the daily 24-hour period, beginning and ending at midnight, as defined in the national standard, NOM-025-SSA1-2014. Pb data are for lagged 3-month running average, as per the national standard, NOM-026-SSA1-1993. Station codes defined in the RAMA and REDMA metadata.

percent of the days in 2000. The next phase of PROAIRE (2002-2010) incorporated new scientific information and adopted goals to reduce the number of days in which the concentrations of O\textsubscript{3}, PM\textsubscript{10}, SO\textsubscript{2}, and CO exceeded respective air quality standards. A national standard for PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentrations was also introduced for the first time in 2004. The interventions implemented under the second PROAIRE helped to reduce the emissions of all measured pollutants but annual concentrations of PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} and daily concentrations for O\textsubscript{3} continued to exceed the legal standards. PROAIRE 2011-2020 built on previous experience, incorporated the latest scientific findings, and proposed managing the Mexico City Metropolitan Area (MCMA) as an ecosystem (MESM-MEFD-MENR-MH 2011). Finally, in 2017, Secretariat of Environment and Natural Resources (Secretaria del Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales, "SEMARNAT") published the “Federal Program to Improve Air Quality in the Megalopolis: PROAIRE of the Megalopolis 2017-2030.” (MENR 2017).

6. Coordination between the federal and local governments has been key to air quality management in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area. In 1992, the Metropolitan Environmental Commission (CAM) was created by Presidential Decree to improve coordination between federal and local government agencies in the airshed.\textsuperscript{18} The CAM brought together federal authorities from the ministries of environment, health, and transport and local authorities from the Federal District (now Mexico City) and the State of Mexico. The Federal Government also established a trust fund that would serve as CAM’s financial mechanism and that was funded by a gasoline charge that was in place between 1995 and 1997 (NIGSI 2005). In its early years, the CAM struggled to fulfill its role as the coordinating body for air quality management due to frequent personnel changes, lack of an independent budget, lack of enforcement powers, and an unclear organizational structure (Molina and Molina 2006). However, CAM’s gradual strengthening allowed it to play an instrumental role in the development and implementation of PICCA and air quality programs (PROAIRE) for 1995-2000, 2002-2010, and 2011-2020. The CAM evolved into the Megalopolis Environmental Commission (la Comisión Ambiental de la Megalópolis, “CAMe”) in 2013, to cover a greater geographic area, recognizing that the metropolitan area had grown and that the sources of and responses to pollution required a geographical coverage that was wider than CAM’s. CAMe’s geographical coverage included Mexico City and a total of 224 municipalities in the surrounding states of Hidalgo, Mexico, Morelos, Puebla, and Tlaxcala. In addition, CAMe had a more comprehensive governance structure that included a high level government body (with Mexico’s Minister of Environment and Natural Resources, the five state governors, and the Chief of Mexico City’s Government), an Executive Commissioner, and a Scientific Advisory Committee.\textsuperscript{19} States that were part of CAMe agreed in 2014 to transfer to the commission MX$ 5.00 for each vehicle inspection conducted within their jurisdiction to complement the trust fund created in 1992 to finance new environmental projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>PM\textsubscript{10}</th>
<th>PM\textsubscript{2.5}</th>
<th>SO\textsubscript{2}</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{x}</th>
<th>VOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


7. Reducing pollution from vehicles has remained a top priority of the Mexico City Metropolitan Area (MCMA) pollution management strategy. Emissions inventories published since 1998 showed that mobile sources are a key source of primary particle emissions in the MCMA and precursors to secondary pollutants, particularly PM\textsubscript{2.5} and oxidants like ozone (see Table 3). Policies aimed at reducing harmful emissions from vehicles in the MCMA have combined gradually tighter standards, improved inspection and maintenance, and monetary incentives. Air quality management plans for

\textsuperscript{18} The commission was established by a Presidential Decree published on January 8, 1992 and its original name was the Commission for the Prevention and Control of Atmospheric Pollution in the Metropolitan Area of the Valley of Mexico. The Governments of the Federal District Department, the State of Mexico and the State of Hidalgo signed an agreement on September 13, 1996 to increase the commission’s geographic coverage to the entire Federal District, 39 municipalities in the State of Mexico and 29 municipalities in the State of Hidalgo. The agreement also changed the commission’s name to Metropolitan Environmental Commission (Comisión Ambiental Metropolitana-CAM).

\textsuperscript{19} Agreement published in the Diario Oficial de la Federación, October 3, 2013.
Progressively tighter fuel quality standards: State and local authorities have coordinated with the Federal Government and PEMEX through the CAM (and later CAMe) to advocate for more stringent fuel standards. An official norm published in January 2006 (NOM-086-SEMARNAT-SENER-SCFI-2005) restricted the sulfur content in premium gasoline to a maximum of 80 parts per million (PPM) by October 2006 and required a similar sulfur content for magna gasoline sold in the MCMA by October 2008. The same norm required that only diesel with a maximum sulfur content of 15 ppm be sold in the MCMA by January 2009. In the rest of the country, the use of ultra-low sulfur diesel was required starting in December 2018, bringing Mexico’s fuel quality in line with the highest European and US standards (NIECC 2019).

Vehicle emissions standards: Vehicle emissions standards have been progressively tightened in step with better fuel quality. Mexico City has anticipated tightening emissions standards and forged ahead of the national timetable by adopting standards equivalent to the strictest European and US standards (Euro VI or US EPA 2010) as part of its voluntary inspection and maintenance program (see Table 4). Nationwide implementation of Euro VI/US EPA 2010 standards is not scheduled until 2021.

Subsidies and low-cost loans for the replacement and upgrading of taxis and buses: By the early-2000s, there were about 110,000 taxis on the streets of the greater metropolitan area and most of these were at least nine years old. Due to their age and the long distances traveled each day, taxis contributed an oversized share of NOx, CO, VOC, and PM emissions. To confront this problem, during the PROAIRE plan (2002-2010), the city set a goal of scrapping about 80 percent of old taxis by 2007. In the Federal District, the city provided direct subsidies of about US$ 1,500 to drivers in exchange for retiring and scrapping their old vehicles, along with access to low-cost loans for vehicle refurbishment or the purchase of more efficient, less polluting vehicles. Economists estimate that by providing subsidies and low-cost loans, public authorities were able to leverage private investment by a ratio of about 3:1 and that the benefit of annual fuel savings outweighed the public cost by about 6:1 (McKinley et al. 2005).

Improved public transit: During PROAIRE 2002-2010, the city began operating a new bus rapid transit (BRT) system known as the Metrobus to supplant informal buses known as colectivos and reduce private vehicle use along major routes. Since then, the Metrobus has expanded to seven lines, serving
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**TABLE 4: Timing of Mexico’s Emission Standards and Alignment with Standards from the United States and the European Union**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Heavy-duty engines</th>
<th>Complete Vehicles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOM-044</td>
<td>NOM-044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aligned standard</td>
<td>Aligned standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Until June 30, 2019</td>
<td>1 A</td>
<td>3 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.S. 2004</td>
<td>California LEV I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 A</td>
<td>4 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Euro IV</td>
<td>Euro 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 1, 2019–December 31, 2020</td>
<td>1 AA</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U. S. 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 AA</td>
<td>4 AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Euro V</td>
<td>Euro 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From January 1, 2019</td>
<td>1 B</td>
<td>3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.S. 2010</td>
<td>U.S. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 B</td>
<td>4 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Euro VI</td>
<td>Euro 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

800,000 passengers per day, with about 180 million passenger trips per year. Line 1 of the Metrobus was registered under the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) in August 2011 for a crediting period between 2012 and 2019. The rest of the lines were registered as a separate CDM project in September 2012 for a crediting period between 2013 and 2022. The Metrobus reported a reduction of 143,952 tons of carbon dioxide equivalent in 2016.

**Driving restrictions:** Since 1989, Mexico City has enforced driving restrictions on a rotating daily basis according to vehicle license plate numbers (locally known as Hoy No Circula). When imposed in 1989, the restrictions applied to 2.3 million vehicles, or 460,000 vehicles per day (Davis 2008). In response to these restrictions, an estimated 22 percent of drivers purchased a second vehicle, frequently older and more polluting units that contributed to worsening air quality (Eskeland and Feyzioglu 1995). The program was reformed in 1997 to exempt new vehicles (those manufactured after 1993) equipped with catalytic converters, thereby accelerating vehicular renewal (Blackman et al. 2018). Authorities have revised the driving restrictions several times with the aim of increasing program efficiency.

**Vehicle inspection program:** Mexico’s vehicle inspection program that verifies each vehicle’s emissions is a key complement to the driving restrictions program. Most vehicles must be inspected semi-annually at an authorized verification center. Based on the results of the inspection, vehicles receive a hologram with a number that is associated with different driving restrictions (see Table 5). In 2016, in response to an air quality emergency, the federal government established more stringent emission standards for all vehicles in the MACA (NOM-EM-167-SEMARNAT-2016) that, in turn, meant that only vehicles equipped with an on-board diagnostic system to monitor engine emissions were qualified to obtain a hologram that exempted them from driving restrictions. The norm also updated the technological requirements of authorized verification centers in the MCMA to be able to access data from vehicles’ on-board diagnostic system and create a centralized depository of data that could be audited by federal authorities and used to inform new policies. The norm established remote detection test methods to collect data from ostensibly polluting vehicles. Prior to the adoption of the norm, only private vehicles were subject to the vehicle verification program. The new norm also included vehicles that provide public and private services regulated by the federal or state governments. In 2017, the emergency norm was substituted by permanent norm (NOM-167-SEMARNAT-2017). As of May 2020, governments of the states that are part of CAMe have been working to harmonize these rules and the associated inspection programs across jurisdictions.

**TABLE 5: Driving Restrictions in Mexico City**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hologram</th>
<th>Weekday Restrictions</th>
<th>Saturday Restrictions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00 and 0</td>
<td>Exempted</td>
<td>Exempted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unable to drive one day between Monday and Friday</td>
<td>Unable to drive two Saturdays per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unable to drive one day between Monday and Friday</td>
<td>Unable to drive on Saturdays</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


21 https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/AENOR1309257514.77/view.
22 https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/SQS1347027039.13/view.
23 https://www.metrobus.cdmx.gob.mx/dependencia/acerca-de/reduccionemisiones.
24 Electric, hybrid and brand new vehicles that meet low emissions criteria are exempted from the verification program for period of two and eight years, depending on their characteristics.
In addition to the measures implemented in the 1990s, efforts to convert facilities to use cleaner fuels such as natural gas or electricity continued under the 2002-2010 and 2011-2020 plans. NOM-086 also established a limit on the quantity of sulfur in industrial fuels used in the MCMA to 500 PPM. In 2010, established the Program to Reduce Emissions of Atmospheric Pollutants to promote the development and use of cleaner technologies, equipment and processes to improve air quality in the MCMA. The Program exempted firms regulated by the federal government from environmental contingency restrictions if they invested in technological improvements or energy efficiency measures that resulted in reduced emissions and/or if they implemented programs to reduce emissions from their transport fleet, to report and reduce GHGs, or to improve their environmental performance (SEMARNAT 2010). Mexico City and State of Mexico governments have adopted similar provisions for locally regulated industries, including in their most recent rules on environmental contingencies adopted in 2019 (PAMC 2019; SESM 2019).

9. Mexico City Metropolitan Area has also wrestled with air pollution from household solid fuel use and open burning. Studies in the 1990s and 2000s found that open biomass burning and household use of solid fuels for cooking contributed around 5-13 percent of the ambient PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in Mexico City (Vega et al. 2010). Within the megalopolis (CAMe’s geographic coverage), fuelwood and agricultural burning were the source of 39 percent of PM$_{10}$, 50 percent of PM$_{2.5}$, and 60 percent of black carbon emissions in 2015 (PROAIRE of the Megalopolis 2017-2030). Cooking with solid fuels also results in household air pollution, that mainly affects vulnerable groups, given that cooking with solid fuels is almost exclusively concentrated among the poorest households (INSP-UNICEF 2016). During the dry season (November to April), forest fires and agricultural burning can contribute an even larger share of PM pollution (Lei et al. 2013). Episodes of high PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in the region were associated with the increased frequency of wildfires during 2019, for example. Recognizing the problem of open burning, authorities in the area expanded their air quality management strategy to include such measures as controlled early-season burning in the surrounding forests to reduce fuel loads and prevent large-scale, destructive fires. Improved control of wildfires was one of the 14 measures announced in December 2019 to improve air quality in the megalopolis.

10. Despite the tremendous progress made since the 1980s, air pollution continues to be a challenge for the Mexico City Metropolitan Area, demonstrating the importance of sustained political and financial commitment. Pollution concentrations have decreased steadily since 1990, but the mean annual concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ have increased slightly since 2015 and remain above the national standard. Ozone concentrations have also fallen significantly since the 1990s but remain a perennial issue, with maximum hourly concentrations frequently exceeding the national air quality standard. These conditions highlight the importance of continuously incorporating scientific evidence and lessons learned to strengthen air quality management, particularly as continuing trends such as urbanization and motorization remain associated with increased pollution.

11. Civil society has played an indispensable role in ensuring that commitment to cleaner air in the MCMA is sustained. A participatory approach, incorporating public opinion is required to establish the legitimacy of actions to improve air quality. Local authorities and CAMe have used social media and other channels to disseminate hourly air quality information to raise awareness, provide actionable recommendations to groups at risk from air pollution, and elicit stakeholders’ perspectives. Since February 2020, authorities have implemented a new federal standard that requires the use of a new color-coded index, called the Air and Health Index, to better communicate air quality and empower individuals to take actions to reduce their exposure to air pollution (NOM-072-SEMARNAT-2019). Public access to data and the legitimacy it engenders for public entities, as well as an evidence-based approach, has allowed CAMe
and its predecessor, CAM, to work at a technical level across administrative, political, and sectoral boundaries. Also, CAMe and CAM have been able to set long-term goals, while maintaining flexibility to respond to events on the ground, which has proven indispensable to address air pollution, which is a complex and long-term problem.

3B. AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT IN BEIJING AND THE GREATER JING-JIN-JI (JJJ) REGION

12. Not so long ago, Beijing made the list of the most polluted cities in the world; since 2013, however, it has seen remarkable progress (see Figure 8). Though Beijing still has a way to go to meet China’s national air quality standards, its experience shows that it is possible for cities to reduce air pollution significantly over a short period of time.

13. Improvements in air quality in Beijing reflect the culmination of nearly two decades of effort to reduce pollution in the capital city and surrounding region. Figure 9 provides a condensed timeline of air pollution control measures in Beijing city leading up to 2013. Although efforts to control emissions from single point sources, such as coal-burning industrial facilities and power plants, began as early as the 1970s, it was not until the late 1990s that the city first put in place a comprehensive strategy to tackle pollution from a variety of sources. But then measures focused on the core urban

![Figure 8: Monthly PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in Beijing, February 2009 to October 2018](image-url)

**Notes:** “APPCAP” = Air Pollution Prevention and Control Action Plan; “Beijing MEMC” = Beijing Environmental Protection Bureau Municipal Environmental Monitoring Center. U.S. embassy data are from the reference-grade continuous air quality monitor installed at the U.S. embassy in Chaoyang District, Beijing. Beijing city data are averaged from the network of 37 stations for which PM$_{2.5}$ data are available from December 2013 to October 2018. Because the U.S. embassy data are only for a single location and monitor, the data prior to December 2013 should be viewed with caution.

**Source:** Beijing city data from Beijing Municipal Environmental Protection Monitoring Center; U.S. embassy data are from U.S. Department of State Air Quality Monitoring Program, “StateAir,” [http://stateair.net/](http://stateair.net/).
**FIGURE 9:** Timeline of air quality management actions in Beijing, 1998-2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Action Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Dedusting retrofit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Desulfurization retrofit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Coal to gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Denitrification retrofit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Natural gas thermal-power cogeneration centres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Renovation of small boilers in core areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Renovation of coal-fired boilers (capacity below 14 MW) in core areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Renovation of coal-fired boilers (capacity over 14 MW) in six urban districts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Centralized heating supply centers in rural districts/counties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Conversion to electric heating and energy efficiency retrofits in old single-story houses in core urban districts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Emission standards

- **Light – duty gasoline vehicles**
  - 1999/1-2002/12 China 1
  - 2003/1-2005/12 China 2
  - 2005/12-2008/2 China 3
  - 2008/3-2013/1 China 4
  - 2013/2-Beijing 5

- **Heavy – duty diesel vehicles**
  - 1999/1-2002/12 China I
  - 2003/1-2005/12 China II
  - 2005/12-2008/2 China III
  - 2008/7-China IV1
  - 2013/2-Beijing V1

### Improve gasoline quality

- Uncleaded Reducing gasoline sulfur since 1998 content
  - 2003/12005/6 <500 ppm
  - 2003/6-2007/12 <150 ppm
  - 2008/1-2012/5 <50 ppm
  - 2012/5-<10 ppm

### Improve diesel quality

- 2003/6-2007/12 <350 ppm
  - 2001/7-2012/5 <50 ppm
  - 2012/5-<10 ppm

### I/M programmes and in-use inspections

- 2001-2003 Transition
  - 2003-Full implementation of ASM and lug down methods
  - 2013-On Road RS1

### Traffic restrictions on specific in-use fleets

- 2003-2013 - Conversion to electric heating and energy efficiency retrofits in old single-story houses in core urban districts

### Clean energy and new energy vehicles

- 1999-CNG public buses
  - 2009-New energy vehicles promoted by the “Ten City & Thousand Units” programme
  - 2012-LNG buses

### Traffic management and economic measures

- 2008/10-Driving restriction on light-duty passengers cars
  - 2011-License control
  - 2009-Subsidized scrappage of yellow-labelled vehicles
  - 2011-expanded to older vehicles

### Temporary measures for the Olympic Games

- Oddeven restrictions, ban on yellow-labelled vehicles, reducing use of government-owned vehicles

### Air quality monitoring and forecasting

- Pre-2008 - 27 monitors in city, PM10 monitoring begins
  - 2013 -35 stations with continuous PM2.5 all data made public

### Source:
Reproduced from UNEP (2016).
districts of Beijing, gradually expanding to cover other parts of Beijing Municipality. They had limited success because a large share of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ pollution in Beijing originated from other areas in the Jing-Jin-Ji (JJJ) region (see Figure 11), which apart from the municipality of Beijing also includes the municipality of Tianjin, the province of Hebei, and small parts of Henan, Shanxi, inner Mongolia, and Shandong. Since 2013, with the support of the national government and line ministries, Beijing and the other cities in the JJJ have worked in coordination to tackle sources of emissions across the region, irrespective of administrative jurisdiction.

14. The year 2013 in fact marked a shift in strategy in the fight against pollution in Beijing and the JJJ region, signaled by the intervention of the State Council, China’s highest governmental body. A year earlier, the Ministry of Environmental Protection (MEP) had issued new ambient air quality standards and ordered cities in the JJJ and other key regions to begin publicly monitoring PM$_{2.5}$ in accordance with the new standards (MEP 2012b). Then, in January 2013, Beijing and much of eastern and northern China experienced several weeks of severe pollution, with concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ reaching levels similar to those experienced in Delhi during November 2017 (see Figure 10). Following this episode, in September 2013, the State Council issued the national-level Air Pollution Prevention and Control and Action Plan (APPCAP) for 2013 to 2017. The APPCAP set a target for Beijing to reduce mean annual concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ to around 60 µg/m$^3$ in 2017 (that is, about 33 percent), while calling for the rest of the JJJ region to reduce mean annual PM$_{2.5}$ by around 25 percent.

**FIGURE 10:** Daily average PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations during severe pollution episodes in Beijing (January 2013) and New Delhi (November 2017)

![Graph showing PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations](image)

**Notes:** Notes: data are for illustrative purposes only; measurements are obtained by different instruments and have not been calibrated or adjusted according to a common standard or reference conditions.
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28 The downtown area includes East City District, West City District, Haidian District, Chaoyang District, Fengtai District, and Shijingshan District. These, along with peri-urban districts and counties, make up Beijing Municipality.

29 In 2018, the MEP went through a milestone institutional reform to be the Ministry of Ecology and Environment (MEE).

30 The MEP order applied to cities at the prefecture level and above, which correspond roughly with districts in India.
15. More detailed city, provincial and regional plans were issued following the National Action Plan. The same day the national APPCAP was issued, Beijing published its Beijing City 2013-2017 Clean Air Action Plan. The 2013-2017 plan built on the city’s existing plan for 2011-2015 and the longer-term air quality management strategy that it had already developed for 2012-2020, establishing quantifiable targets and defining the responsibilities of 42 offices and agencies and 23 enterprises in the city for meeting those targets. Hebei and Tianjin also released their five-year provincial-level strategies for 2013-2017 the same day the APPCAP was issued. A few days later, the MEP, National Development and Reform Commission (NDRC), Ministry of Industry and Information Technology (MIIT), Ministry of Finance (MoF), Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development (MoHURD), and National Energy Administration (NEA) issued a joint five-year action plan for air pollution prevention and control for the entire JJJ airshed.

16. The measures in the 2013-2017 city, provincial and regional plans for air pollution control in the JJJ targeted a variety of sources identified as major causes of high PM$_{2.5}$ levels. Pollution in the JJJ region comes from a diverse set of sources, as illustrated for Beijing, Tianjin, and Shijiazhuang (the capital of Hebei province) in Figure 11. The main local sources in the JJJ cities generally include coal use (by industry, power plants, and households); industrial process emissions; vehicular exhaust; and fugitive dust (from construction sites, road dust, and other sources). Although not shown explicitly in Figure 11, secondary PM$_{2.5}$ formed from other pollutants also constitutes a large share of total ambient PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, including about 39 percent of PM$_{2.5}$ in Tianjin (Shi et al. 2018) and about 45 percent in the industrial city of Xingtai in Hebei (Chen et al. 2017). Coal use by industries, powerplants, and households, motor vehicle exhaust, and fertilizer use all contribute to secondary PM$_{2.5}$ in the region. A large share of pollution in the region’s cities also comes from regional sources outside municipal boundaries, underscoring the need for an airshed-scale management approach. Some of the accomplishments by Beijing city during the APPCAP period included:

- Reducing coal consumption from 23 million tons in 2012 to less than 5 million tons in 2017 through such measures as shutting down the remaining four coal-fired thermal power plants in the city, replacing coal-fired heating stoves in more than 300,000 households with gas or electric systems, and converting or scrapping 39,000 commercial and industrial coal-fired boilers;

- Promoting public transport, tightening emission standards for vehicles, controlling fleet numbers, and upgrading high-emitters such as diesel trucks and buses by replacing them with clean-energy vehicles or by requiring that diesel particulate filters be used;

- Controlling industrial pollution by shutting down or relocating 1,992 large industrial enterprises in heavy sectors such as steel, chemicals, and building materials and providing financing for retrofits and emissions controls in others;

- Controlling windblown dust by expanding tree cover and green space by about 74,000 hectares (equal to 4.5 percent of the area of the entire municipality); retrofitting trucks hauling construction waste to make them airtight; and adopting the new road cleaning technique of “vacuum, sweep, wash, and collect” to prevent fugitive dust.

17. Actions to improve air quality in Beijing and the greater JJJ region have extended well beyond municipal boundaries. In 2015, for example, the Hebei provincial Agricultural Bureau launched its Action Plan for Controlling Agricultural Non-Point Source Pollution (2015-2018). Under the plan, the province set a goal to reduce air emissions from crop stubble burning by reutilizing 95 percent of the 60 million tons of agricultural residues generated annually. That same year, the province also introduced new regulations banning open burning. According to official statistics,
FIGURE 11: Sources of PM$_{2.5}$ pollution in cities in the JJJ region

a. Beijing city, 2012-2013

b. Tianjin city, 2012-2013

c. Shijiazhuang city, Hebei province, 2013-2014

Source: figures reproduced from ICCS (2018)
by 2017 the reutilization rate for agricultural residues had reached 96 percent, with most being returned to the field to enhance soil nutrient content or being used for animal feed. Still, provincial authorities report that enforcement continues to be a problem and burning has continued in some areas.\footnote{35} In addition, to tackle generation of secondary PM$_{2.5}$, the local government in Hebei initiated a pilot program (under the World Bank supported Hebei AQM Program for Results (P4R) project) to increase Nitrogen Use Efficiency (NUE) on 6.2 million hectares of farmland that will reduce NH$_3$ through balanced fertilizer application. This pilot is expected to be further expanded to other parts of China. China is also: (i) piloting the use of covered tanks for storage of animal manure to minimize NH$_3$ emission on large livestock farms; (ii) applying technologies that ensure that fertilizer is injected sufficiently into the soil on large farms for both crop and vegetable production; and (iii) developing new plasma reactor technology that transforms manure from liquid to solid form prior to being used on farmland.

18. The central government has provided dedicated financial resources to support implementation of pollution control strategies in the JJJ region. All told, between 2013 and 2017, direct outlays by the central government totaled RMB 52.8 billion (US$ 7.81 billion) in special-purpose funds and RMB 10 billion (US$ 1.48 billion) in budgetary resources to support air quality management in the JJJ provinces.\footnote{34} In 2016, for example, Hebei province received earmarked resources of about RMB 4.01 billion (US$ 593 million) from the central government plus RMB 902 million (US$ 130 million) in transfers from Beijing and Tianjin cities, while committing RMB 800 million (US$ 120 million) in specially designated provincial resources to implement its air pollution control plan.\footnote{35} The province used these resources to leverage an additional RMB 20 billion (US$ 2.96 billion) in financing from the private sector.

19. Financing from the central government and city for air pollution control in Beijing has supported a variety of incentive programs. Examples of incentive programs include:

- **Subsidies for end-of-pipe controls and boiler retrofits in power plants and factories:** Since 2004, the national government has offered coal-fired power plants a price subsidy to offset the increased marginal cost of installing and operating end-of-pipe controls.\footnote{36} Smaller industries have also received incentives for installing control technologies and lower-emissions equipment. In 2017, businesses retrofitting small boilers (steam capacity up to 20 tons) to reduce NOx emissions could receive subsidies from the city of RMB 96,000 to RMB 580,000 depending on the size of the boilers. District governments in the city were expected to offer matching subsidies.\footnote{37}

- **Cash for clunkers:** By 2015, Beijing had already banned the worst-polluting vehicles from entering the city.\footnote{38} Additionally, it offered cash incentives for owners with vehicles that had been registered for at least 6 years to scrap their older vehicles. The incentives ranged from RMB 3,500 (US$ 518) for small passenger vehicles to RMB 21,500 (US$ 3,180) for large buses. Vehicle owners had to dispose of their vehicles at least a year ahead of the mandatory retirement date, if applicable (e.g. for example, for public buses), in order to receive the subsidy. The city has also offered subsidies to encourage drivers to purchase clean-energy vehicles. In 2017, for example, drivers could receive RMB 44,000 (US$ 6,509) in national subsidies plus RMB 22,000 (US$ 3,254) in

\footnote{36} In 2013, power plants received electricity price subsidies from desulfurization, denitrification, and dust-removal equipment of RMB 0.015/kWh, RMB 0.01/kWh, and RMB 0.002/kWh, respectively. Christopher James, “China’s Power Sector and Air Quality Reforms: Global Lessons on Getting Institutional Responsibilities Right,” 7 November 2017, https://www.epaonline.org/knowledge-center/china’s-power-sector-air-quality-reforms-global-lessons-getting-institutional-responsibilities-right/
\footnote{38} Called “yellow-label” vehicles for the color of their emissions stickers, these included gasoline vehicles that failed to meet the China I standard and diesel vehicles that could not meet the China III standard.
subsidiary from Beijing city for buying all-electric vehicles.39

- **Household subsidies for clean heating:** By October 2017, Beijing had imposed a total ban on coal use by households for cooking and heating within city limits, including in peri-urban villages. To help families make the switch, the city heavily subsidized the purchase of new boilers and stoves and lowered the price of energy for heating. Households in rural areas switching to electric heating, for example, could receive RMB 24,000 (US$ 3,550) in subsidies for a new heating system, reducing the upfront cost per household to around RMB 3,000 (US$ 444). Additionally, they enjoyed a lower tariff rate for electricity use during winter nights, reducing the average winter heating cost per household to around RMB 1,661 (US$ 246). By comparison, the average winter heating cost for a household burning coal would have been around RMB 5,000 (US$ 740).40

20. Cross-jurisdictional coordination on air pollution control in the JJJ region has been led by the State Council and the JJJ Regional Air Quality Prevention and Control Coordination Group, with high-level participation. Provincial and city-level governments continued to be the primary implementers for air quality management in the JJJ region. At the same time, the JJJ Regional Air Quality Prevention and Control Coordination Group was established in 2013 to ensure cross-jurisdictional coordination. Originally housed in the Beijing Environmental Protection Bureau, the group included representatives from seven provinces and municipalities and eight ministries under the State Council, with the Vice Premier serving as its head. Mirroring the regional group, multi-agency leadership groups were also established at the provincial and local levels of government. The ministries and provinces in the regional coordination group formulated annual implementation plans for air quality management in the JJJ region and set policies for cross-jurisdictional issues such as fuel standards, energy supply, and public transportation.41 In July 2018, the State Council decided to further elevate the administrative status of the coordination group, with the Minister of Ecology and Environment (MEE), Beijing City Governor, Tianjin City Governor, and Hebei Provincial Governor now acting as its vice-chairs. Other members now include vice-ministers from the NDRC, MIIT, Ministry of Finance, Public Security Administration, Ministry of Housing and Urban Development, Ministry of Transportation, Ministry of Agriculture, Chinese Meteorological Administration, and other agencies. The Secretariat is expected to sit within the national-level MEE (formerly MEP).42

21. Local government officials and state-owned-enterprise managers were held accountable for implementing air quality management plans by integrating air quality targets and measures into official performance reviews. In 2014, the State Council issued rules for evaluating the performance of government officials in carrying out the responsibilities defined in the local-level air pollution prevention and control plans.43 Per the rules, officials failing to meet the 2017 targets would be ordered to appear before the State Council, and approvals for new development projects in their area would be suspended. The rules also made the achievement of air quality targets a more integral part of official cadre performance reviews. China’s government first started to embed environmental targets in the system for evaluating cadres in the early 2000s. The annual reviews can determine bonuses and an official’s prospects. Although far from perfect (see Kostka, 2015), the reviews have become an influential lever in shaping official behavior (Wang, 2013). Officials that consistently fail to meet targets may be demoted, reassigned to a less prestigious locality, or possibly expelled (Eaton and Kostka, 2014). In the closing months of the APPCAP, the MEP announced that it had disciplined 12,000 officials.44 The government has taken continuous efforts to refine policies. For example, to enhance environmental

---

By some estimates, better-than-usual atmospheric circulation and other favorable weather conditions contributed about 30 percent to the reduction in PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations levels using a formula. The JJJ region made tremendous progress in reducing PM$_{2.5}$ pollution during the APPCAP period, finally bending the curve on rising concentrations. Average PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in Beijing exhibit a distinct drop beginning around September 2013, as the city reduced its mean annual PM$_{2.5}$ from around 90 µg/m$^3$ to 58 µg/m$^3$ in 2017 (see Figure 8). Severe pollution episodes have also abated. Mean annual PM$_{2.5}$ in the larger JJJ region dropped by nearly 40 percent, far exceeding the APPCAP target of 25 percent. Some cities in the JJJ region achieved even larger declines, including the industrial center of Xingtai in Hebei province, which had some of the worst pollution in the country at the start of the APPCAP. Mean annual PM$_{2.5}$ in Xingtai declined from 160 µg/m$^3$ in 2013 to just below 80 µg/m$^3$ in 2017.

Much remains to be done before the cities will reach compliance with the national standards, however. By early 2018, average levels of PM$_{2.5}$ in the JJJ remained about two times higher than the national standard and seven times higher than the guideline value recommended by the WHO. To continue the momentum of the APPCAP, in August 2018 the State Council issued the Three-Year Action Plan to Win the Battle for Clean Skies in 2018 to 2020. Beijing, Hebei, Tianjin, and other local governments in the JJJ region have followed closely with their own three-year strategies. As air quality continues to improve, many of the cheapest no-regrets solutions have already been taken, and the challenge for the next round of air pollution management will be for the governments in the JJJ to analysis and identify measures that deliver the greatest reduction in exposure at the least cost to the economy. Furthermore, innovative financing mechanisms and private sector investments will need to be mobilized to sustainably finance air quality management for the long term.

3C. AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT IN DELHI

There is a long history of tackling air pollution in India, with supporting legislation. The main legislation that governs air pollution management in India is the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act (“Air Act”), first issued in 1981 and amended in 1987. The Air Act empowers the Ministry of Environment, Forest, and Climate Change (MoEFCC), and its subordinate institutions, Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) and the state pollution control boards (SPCBs), to perform a range of functions to help prevent, control, and abate air pollution in the country. CPCB, for example, has the responsibility to prescribe air quality standards, the first set of which were issued in 1984 and have been since been expanded and strengthened. Additionally, the Air Act requires CPCB and SPCBs to develop nationwide programs and state-level plans, respectively, to help achieve ambient air quality standards. Program and plan implementations are left to state governments, however.

Pollution control boards also have powers to prescribe and enforce emission standards for stationary and mobile source of air pollution, in coordination with other government agencies. For stationary sources of pollution – industrial plants and thermal power plants, for example – India relies on an emission-standards-and-permit system. Emission standards for different categories of industry (including power plants) have been established, and facilities must obtain permits to establish and operate, that, in turn, allows regulators to enforce the set standards (see 46 Hebei News, “PM$_{2.5}$ Concentrations Drop 80%! Target Fixed for Xingtai to Improve Air Quality in 2018” (in Chinese), 27 April 2018, http://hebei.hebnews.cn/2018-04/27/content_6863379.htm.

47 Other Central Acts (Water, Environment Protection, Motor Vehicles, and Public Liability) also have provisions to regulate air quality (CSE 2016).
Regulators also monitor emission levels and have the authority to shut down or disconnect water or power to non-compliant units. Fines and imprisonment can be pursued through courts. For mobile source of pollution, vehicles, as in much of the world, India relies on emission standards for newly manufactured vehicles along with fuel quality standards. Vehicle inspection and maintenance (I and M) programs are used for in-use vehicles. Central Motor Vehicles Rules of 1989 grants the Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (MoRTH) the responsibility to establish vehicle specifications. Therefore, standards for vehicles and I and M program are developed jointly by MoEFCC and MoRTH. Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas (MoPNG) and the Bureau of Indian Standards are in charge of setting standards for quality of gasoline and diesel in the country. Furthermore, MoRTH enforces vehicular emission standards, MoPNG fuel quality standards, and state police and state transport departments enforce I and M programs. In critically polluted areas (including non-attainment cities), pollution boards can also prohibit the use of certain fuels and burning of any materials deemed to cause air pollution and mandate the use of certain pollution control equipment. For example, use of pet coke and furnace oil has been restricted in Delhi and the National Capital Region (NCR), and brick kilns are required to use prescribed technologies to reduce air pollution in this area. This provision also allows SPCBs to restrict trash burning in non-attainment areas.

27. Based on this legislation, but driven by public interest litigation and public pressure, Delhi began to tackle air pollution starting in the mid-1980s. Air pollution started to deteriorate in Delhi beginning in

---

**BOX 3: Controlling Air Pollution from Coal-Fired Power in India**

India has made great strides in extending access to electricity to its people. In 1990, only 43 percent of the population had some form of access to electricity. By 2016, that number had risen to nearly 85 percent. Still, about 25 million rural households continue to be unconnected, and per capita consumption of electricity in India in 2016 was less than a quarter that of the other BRICS countries, at 916 kWh compared to 4,262 kWh. The power sector in India has ample room to grow, and demand is expected only to rise.

Coal has largely fueled India’s expanding access to electricity and will continue to do so in the coming decades. By the end of 2018, India’s power utilities had 197 gigawatts (GW) of installed coal-fired capacity, out of a total generating capacity of 347 GW, as the share of coal-fired generation in India’s total electricity supply reached 78 percent (see Figure B3.1). Separately, industries operated another 57 GW of captive thermal generating capacity

---

**FIGURE B3.1: Share of coal-fired power in total electricity generation in India, 1980-2018**

Notes: The data include generation by utilities and exclude captive generation by industries.

Sources: 1980-2014 data from IEA (2018b); 2015-18 data from India’s Central Electricity Authority (CEA) and Indiastat
for their own use. The International Energy Agency projects that, by 2040, the share of coal in total generation will drop to just below half, as India’s electricity mix becomes more diversified. Still, coal will continue to be the single largest fuel source, and, in absolute terms, coal-fired generation will be about twice what it was in 2017.50 Although expanding access to electricity has improved the lives of hundreds of millions of people, the growing reliance on coal-fired generation has also come at a cost. Coal-fired power is a major source of air pollutant emissions.

Amid growing public concern over pollution, in 2015 the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC) introduced new standards for emissions from thermal power plants, which were amended in 2018. The new standards restricted SO₂, NOₓ, and mercury (Hg) emissions for the first time and tightened the existing limits on PM emissions. The new standards were comparable to those in other countries although above the limits currently achievable with the best available technologies (see Table B3.1). The MoEFCC set a deadline for power plants to comply with the standards by the end of 2017. The deadline was later extended to 2019 for Delhi and to 2022 for all other states and UTs. India’s Supreme Court has called for the government to implement the new standards by 2021 for 57 generating units located in India’s most densely populated areas.51 As of mid-2018, only 15 out of 650 units had installed emissions controls capable of meeting the new standards for SO₂, and 273 units were in non-compliance with the PM standards. While some of the non-compliant units are older and will be retired, more than 410 units will need to be retrofitted with SO₂ controls and over 230 units will need to have their PM controls upgraded to meet the 2022 deadline (CEA 2018).

A range of viable technologies exist to control SO₂, NOₓ, and PM before, during, and after combustion. Pre-combustion controls include coal washing, which reduces the content ash and other impurities and improves the heating value of coal. During-combustion controls include optimizing the temperature, burn time, and boiler load and injecting sorbents such as limestone into the ame zone of the boiler. Post-combustion controls

| TABLE B3.1: Power Plant Emissions Standards in Various Countries |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                        | Existing plants        | New plants             |                        |
|                        | NOₓ  | SO₂  | PM₂.5 | NOₓ  | SO₂  | PM₂.5 |
| China (2014)           | 100  | 50-200 | 20-30 | 50  | 35  | 10    |
| India (2015)           | 300-600 | 200-600 | 50-100 | 100 | 100 | 30    |
| Japan (2015)           | 410  | 100  | 200   | 200 | 50  |
| South Africa (2012)    | 1,100 | 3,500 | 100  | 750 | 500 | 50    |
| USA (2014)             | 135  | 185  | 18.5  | 95.3 | 136 | 12.3  |
| EU (2017)              | 165-220 (daily) | 165-220 (daily) | 11-20 (daily) | 125 (daily) | 110 (daily) | 10 (daily) |
|                        | 65-85 (annual) | 130-180 (annual) | 8-12 (annual) | 85 (annual) | 75 (annual) | 5 (annual) |

Notes: Existing plants refer to plants in operation as of when the respective standards were issued; new plants are plants that enter operation after the respective dates that the standards were issued or entered into force; the date of issue varies by region/country; the ranges shown in the table depend on the size, type, age, and location of the plant as per the standards for the respective countries/regions shown in the table; averaging or sampling periods vary; standards for the United States and Japan have been converted into units of µg per Nm³ by Zhu and Wang (2014); standards for China shown in the table are for the 11 provinces in the eastern region; standards for the EU shown in the table apply to a plant with a generating capacity of 300 MW to 1 GW and are effective as of 2021; all other standards are currently in effect.

Sources: Zhang (2016) and EU (2017)

include end-of-pipe technologies to capture or remove pollutants from flue gas. Examples of new technologies to emerge in recent years include flue gas conditioning, enhanced ESP, better fabric filters, agglomeration (binding small particles together to make them easier to capture), and hybrid systems (Zhang, 2016). In parallel with emissions controls, installing continuous emissions monitoring (CEM) equipment and making CEM data publicly available can improve compliance by ensuring that companies operate within standards and that the most egregious violators are held accountable.

The MoEFCC has prescribed specific pollution control equipment for power plants to meet the new standards. New PM emission standards are to be met through the augmentation of ESP, flue gas desulphurization to control SO₂ is recommended as are post combustion measures like selective catalyst reduction and selective non-catalyst reduction systems for more stringent NOₓ standards. On its part, the Ministry of Power has taken measures to remove existing hurdles in the implementation of the new emission standards. The Central Electricity Authority, for example, has issued standard technical specifications for wet limestone-based flue gas desulphurization for a typical 500 MW unit which can readily be used by the utilities.

Retrofitting thermal power plants with emissions control technologies can be technically challenging and expensive. Power producers will need to recoup the costs of putting in place control measures by raising tariffs. Ultimately, accelerating the retirement of older plants while promoting more renewable energy and energy efficiency may prove more cost-effective, allowing India to reduce harmful emissions from the power sector and avoid the lock-in effects that may also increase climate mitigation costs down the road. As part of its commitment to global climate change mitigation efforts, the Government of India has set an ambitious target of meeting energy demand through 175 GW of renewable energy.

the 1980s. In 1985, public interest lawyer, M.C. Mehta, asked the Supreme Court of India to direct government agencies and departments to implement the Air Act in Delhi. The Supreme Court has been granted the authority to hear cases that infringe on a citizen’s fundamental rights that are guaranteed under Article 21 of the Indian Constitution. Right to a clean environment is one such fundamental right. Spurred by the case, the Supreme Court began to push not only the Delhi government, but also the Central government, to take measures to improve Delhi’s air quality.

28. Over the course of the next decade and a half, a number of measures were implemented based on the push from the Supreme Court and pressure from the public, that brought some temporary relief from poor air quality. In the 90s, emissions from transport were understood to contribute 60-70 percent of the total, with the rest coming from industry and residential sources; sources were understood to be located within the city boundaries. As a result, most measures to reduce air pollution in Delhi focused on transportation (see Table 6). Between 1994 and 1998, the Court pushed the government to enforce the phaseout of leaded gasoline, to introduce pre-mix fuels for two-stroke engines, relocate polluting industries, and implement scrapping of commercial vehicles in use for 15 years or more. The Delhi government and MoEFCC developed their first comprehensive plans for controlling pollution in 1996 and 1997, respectively, on the Court’s urging. The Delhi government plan called for a Mass Rapid Transport System, a highway bypass around Delhi, improved vehicular technology, higher-quality fuels, increased use of CNG, restrictions on excessively polluting vehicles, urban greening, and a program of public awareness. The MoEFCC plan was similar and focused on transport. The Court subsequently asked for a committee to be established to monitor the progress on implementation and to suggest other policies to control pollution. This committee was called the Environment Pollution (Prevention and Control) Authority (EPCA). Soon after, based on a report from EPCA, the Court mandated that all commercial vehicles switch to CNG by 2001. This order initiated one of the most ambitious vehicular-fuel-conversion programs globally, and one that was implemented in less than two years by December 2002.
With coordinated action from a range of stakeholders -- suppliers of CNG, bus manufactures, public vehicle operators -- about 10,000 buses converted from diesel to CNG, and approximately 20,000 taxis and 50,000 three-wheelers from petrol to CNG, bringing some brief relief to Delhi’s citizens (see Figure 12).

29. Air quality, however, steadily declined in the 2000s, building up to a crisis in the late 2010s. As shown in Figure 12c, mean annual PM$_{10}$ concentrations in Delhi have increased year after year from the early 2000s. The winter of 2016 brought very poor air quality to the city, with PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations of up to 759 µg/m$^3$, 12.7 times higher than the national standard (EPCA-CSE 2018), for example. Delhi has consistently featured on top in WHO’s list of most polluted cities in the world during this period.

30. Delhi’s air pollution challenge has come to be recognized as multi-sectoral and multi-jurisdictional. Over the last few years, three different source apportionment studies (Sharma et al. 2016, Amman et al. 2016, and ARAI-TERI 2018) have estimated the contribution of different sectors to seasonal (winter and summer) and mean annual ambient concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ in Delhi and the NCR. Findings from the latest of these studies — ARAI-TERI 2018 — are illustrated in Figure 13. These studies show that there are multiple dominant sources of pollution which require a multi-source strategy for pollution management in Delhi. Though there are differences, all three studies rank secondary inorganic aerosols (formed by reactions involving primarily NH$_3$ from agriculture mixed with NO$_x$ and SO$_2$); biomass (household cookstoves); waste burning; and vehicular emissions (including pollution from diesel vehicles,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Mr. Mehta petitions the Supreme Court (SC) to direct various government departments to enact the Air Act in Delhi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>SC directs the Delhi Government to specify what steps have been taken to reduce air pollution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>First set of vehicular exhaust emission standards are set (for in-use vehicles) and committee established to recommend vehicular emission standards (for new vehicles); Central Government approves Delhi Second Master Plan which calls for polluting industries to be relocated by 1993.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early 1990</td>
<td>MoEFCC proposes that all vehicles have compulsory catalytic convertors by 1995.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>SC asks MoEFCC to establish statutory committee (under provision of Environment Protection Act (1986)) to devise policies to reduce air pollution. The Saiikia Committee is established.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>The Saiikia Committee recommends phase-out of unleaded gasoline in Delhi and use of CNG as an alternative fuel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>MoEFCC established vehicular emission standards to be met by 1996 and 2000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>The Motor Vehicles Act is amended to encourage use of alternative fuels; SC mandates phase out of unleaded fuel in Delhi by 1995 and gradually reduction in the sulfur content of diesel by 1998. These deadlines are met.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>The Delhi Government announces subsidy for 2-3 wheelers to install catalytic convertors and MoRTH bans registration of 4 wheelers without catalytic convertors in Delhi. These initiatives are successful.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>With further deteriorations in air quality, SC orders Delhi Government to prepare a comprehensive air quality management plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996-97</td>
<td>On orders from the Supreme Court, Delhi Government relocates highly polluting industries from Delhi having missed the 1993 deadline. Delhi Government and MoEFCC present first comprehensive actions plans for air quality management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Based on its Action Plan, Delhi Government develops policy to phase out old vehicles starting in 1998 to 2000 and encourage the use of CNG.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>SC orders MoEFCC to establish the third in a series of committees to monitor implementation of action plans and devise new policies. This committee is called Environment Pollution (Prevention and Control) Authority (EPCA) and is still functioning. Based on recommendations from EPCA, SC orders that all commercial passenger vehicles – autos, taxis, and buses – switch to CNG by 2000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>On orders from the Supreme Court, Delhi Government implements policy to phase out vehicles older than 15 years having missed previous deadline of 1998. Incentive scheme – exemption from 8% sales tax and subsidy of 4% on the interest rate of the loan – is used to incentivize conversion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>SC’s 1998 CNG conversion order is implemented.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE 12: Monitored concentrations of pollutants in Delhi compared to national standards, 1990-2018

a. Mean annual SO₂ concentrations in Delhi NCR, 1990-2018

b. Mean annual NO₂ concentrations in Delhi NCR, 1990-2018
road dust, tire wear, and brakes) as the most important sources of pollution in the winter months. Confirming these findings, Cusworth et al. (2018) find that smoke from agricultural burning in upwind states contributed about 21-72 percent of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ in Delhi during early November when the NCR frequently experiences severe pollution episodes. There is less agreement among the studies on pollution sources in the summer months, though dust and construction are likely the largest contributors. Regional sources of air pollution also contribute a large share of overall ambient PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, with as much as 60 percent of Delhi’s pollution comes from neighboring states (Amman et al. 2016).

31. Delhi has once again taken on the challenge of deteriorating air quality with EPCA continuing to play an important role. The tenure of EPCA has been extended time and again, and most recently EPCA was reconstituted in October 2018 to include a larger number of representatives from civil society. Other members who have served longer term on EPCA include officers from Government of Delhi’s Environment Department, Transport Department, Jal (Water) Board, officials from Delhi’s four municipal corporations, and Delhi police. EPCA has continued to play a role in advising the Supreme Court in matters pertaining to air pollution management and to ensure implementation of air quality standards and related Court orders in Delhi and the NCR (MoECC 2018). In consultation with the Central government and relevant state governments, EPCA developed a comprehensive action plan that provides timelines for different responsible agencies to implement medium and long-term measures to tackle air pollution in Delhi NCR. This plan was subsequently notified by CPCB in 2018 per directions of the Court. The plan includes measures of reduce emissions from vehicles, power plants, industry, construction, waste burning, and solid fuels in domestic and hotel sectors. In addition, an emergency action plan – Graded Response Action Plan – developed also by EPCA was notified in 2017 and becomes effective during high pollution
32. A number of measures have been implemented to improve air quality in Delhi, some at the national level and others specifically in the NCR.

- To tackle vehicular pollution: India has progressively tightened vehicular emission and fuel quality standards and has announced plans to jump from BS-IV (equivalent to ES-IV) to BS-VI (equivalent to ES-VI) from 2020, an unprecedented advancement of emission standards. Moreover, BS-VI (equivalent for ES-VI) grade fuel was made available in the National Capital Territory two years ahead of schedule.

- To tackle industrial and power plant emissions: Highly polluting fuels used in industry – petroleum coke and furnace oil – were prohibited in NCR states as per the directions issued by CPCB in November 2017. New emissions standards for eighteen categories of industries were issued in 2018 to reduce emissions of NOx and SOx in the NCR but will also contribute to better air quality nationally. The Badarpur coal-based power plants that supplied power to Delhi for over four decades was permanently shut in 2018. Additionally, brick kilns in the NCR have been ordered to move to zig-zag technology, which will substantially reduce pollution.

- To tackle agriculture residue burning: A National Policy for Management of Crop Residues was announced in 2014 and in 2018 the Cabinet Committee on Economic Affairs gave approval for the promotion of Agricultural Mechanization for in-situ Management of Crop Residue in the states of Punjab, Haryana and Uttar Pradesh, and in National Capital Territory of Delhi, in efforts to reduce large-scale burning of crop residue from paddy crop in October-November and wheat in April.

33. Delhi, and other regions in the country, will also benefit from a number of other national initiatives to tackle air pollution, and others that though not initiated for air pollution concerns will nonetheless help reduce air pollution as a co-benefit. In 2015, for example, MOEFCC introduced new emission standards
for power plants, which, once enforced, will bring benefits in terms of reduction in PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations (see Box 3). In addition, seventeen categories of polluting industries have been directed to install Online Continuous Emissions/Effluent Monitoring Systems, in an effort to improve enforcement. Rules for construction and demolition waste management were issued in 2016 and five waste management rules – for solid waste, hazardous waste, plastic waste, biomedical waste, and e-waste – revised. The National Solar Mission and National Mission on Energy Efficiency that were initiated to achieve India’s ambitious climate targets will also help shift the energy mix towards renewables and away from thermal power plants, yielding air quality improvements as a co-benefit. India is also promoting electric vehicles and has initiated plans to develop hydrogen as a transport fuel for the future. Programs such as the Pradhan Mantri Ujjwala Yojana that has expanded access to clean cooking fuels, most notably LPG (see Box 4), reducing the reliance on residential biomass burning, is essential to efforts to reduce air pollution. Ministry of Agriculture is also promoting programs to reduce the use of fertilizers such as Soil Health Card Scheme, which provide soil fertility status and recommendations on per crop fertilizer dose, and Integrated Nutrient Management practices. Bringing these and other initiatives together with a concentrated focus on air pollution management, in 2019, MoEFCC also launched the National Clean Air Programme (NCAP). The NCAP has set a time-bound goal for improving air quality across the country to help around 100+ cities, where air pollution standards are currently not being met, meet standards over time. The NCAP provides cities an overall framework for developing air quality management plans and guidance on interventions across a range of sectors (power plants, transport, industry, agriculture, residential, waste management, and road and construction dust management) to reduce air pollution. The NCAP will also support the development of a national air quality monitoring network, dissemination of data, and involvement of the public in air quality management planning and implementation. More recently, the Fifteenth Finance Commission of India, the constitutionally-mandated body established every five years to recommend sharing of tax revenues between the federal and the state governments, recommended a US$ 500 million grant for one year to reward large cities for improvements in air quality. This performance-based grant is a powerful, innovative mechanism to incentivize cities to act.

**BOX 4: Pradhan Mantri Ujjwala Yojana Expands Clean Cooking in India**

The incomplete combustion of solid fuels such as wood, charcoal, dried dung, and crop residues in household cookstoves has long been a major source of both indoor and outdoor concentrations of air pollution in India. In 2000, only about 20 percent of households had access to clean fuels and stoves for cooking. Since then, India has made tremendous progress in expanding household access to clean fuels and technologies for cooking. By around 2017, the proportion of households with access to clean cooking had doubled.

Successive initiatives launched by the central government over the past five years have accelerated the transition toward clean fuels and technologies for cooking, most notably liquefied petroleum gas (LPG). Starting around 2013, the Pratyaksha Hastaantarit Laabh (PAHAL) program revamped the government’s subsidy program for LPG. Instead of purchasing LPG in the market at a subsidized price, users received direct electronic payments linked to their bank accounts, preventing misuse of funds and allowing LPG distributors and program implementers to keep track of LPG connections through a centralized database. In 2015, the ‘Give it Up’ (GIU) campaign was launched, as 11 million LPG users voluntarily donated their LPG subsidies to give to poorer households. The GIU program leveraged the digital infrastructure created by the PAHAL scheme, allowing individual donors to look up on the GIU website which beneficiary received their subsidies. Building on the public momentum of the GIU campaign, in May 2016, the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas (MoPNG) rolled out the Pradhan...
Mantri Ujjwala Yojana initiative, with the goal of providing free LPG connections to 50 million poor households by 2019. Under the program, women were the only ones eligible to receive new connections, which were linked to their individual bank accounts and ID cards. The Ujjwala initiative reached its target ahead of schedule, so in 2018 the MoPNG decided to scale up its target even more to connect 80 million households by the end of 2020. As of November 2018, 57 million households had already received LPG connections.

Evidence of the Ujjwala initiative’s success is plain to see. A large-scale field survey carried out in six states in central and northern India in 2018 by researchers with the Council on Energy, Environment, and Water (CEEW) showed that LPG use had expanded from 22 percent of households to 58 percent by 2018. About half of those households that had recently started using LPG credited the Ujjwala initiative as the reason for them getting a connection. The poorest households saw rates of LPG usage increase the fastest between 2015 to 2018 compared to the general population.

Still, challenges remain with the use of LPG for cooking, including affordability, reliability, and convenience. According to the data from the CEEW survey, about 55 percent of households that received new LPG connections through the Ujjwala program continued to use traditional biomass as their primary fuel for cooking. The longer households use LPG, the more they are likely to rely on it for their primary fuel, so it could be that many of the Ujjwala participants are still in the phase of transitioning. Yet, LPG users who continued to cook with biomass commonly said that buying fuel was not affordable, or that procuring fuel was difficult and time consuming. Other than in West Bengal, more than half of all households cannot receive LPG cylinders delivered to their homes. Most travel four kilometers or more to have their cylinders refilled, and most only have a single cylinder (while urban users typically have two). Of those who reportedly did not use LPG to cook, about 90 percent cited the expense of fuel as the main reason.

Ultimately, by solving problems related to affordability, reliability, and convenience, the goal will be for households to move toward using gaseous fuels and electricity as their exclusive source of energy for cooking. Indeed, research has found that continuing to use traditional biomass even a small fraction of the time may still result in adverse health effects. In Ecuador, for example, which has provided large LPG price subsidies for decades, resulting in more than 90 percent of households using LPG as the primary cooking fuel, a recent study found that biomass continued to be used in rural areas, with two drivers being that biomass was frequently free and could also heat homes at the same time (Gould et al. 2018).


34. These efforts at the national and state level are showing some limited impact on the ground. As shown in Figure B1.1, with air quality improvements in some regions. Air quality in Delhi is also improving as seen in the decline of PM\textsubscript{10} from 2016 to 2018 (Figure 12c). Pollution levels, though, remain well above healthy levels and much remains to be done to clear the air in Delhi and a growing number of Indian cities.
What lessons can other countries draw?
1. The experiences of these three cities suggest that there is no silver bullet. Improving air quality takes time, as solutions require changes to policies and to institutions, and sustained political commitment over many years is required. Substantial reductions in pollution are possible over a relatively short period with persistence, as are rebounds in pollution if enforcement is relaxed and commitment wanes. Mexico City began implementing policies to reduce air pollution in 1990. As a result, NO₂, O₃, Pb, and SO₂ concentrations declined dramatically in the 1990s. Mean annual concentrations of PM₂.₅, for which monitoring data are only available since in the mid-2000s, also declined in the early 2000s, but rebounded in 2010s and remain above the national standard. Beijing similarly began efforts to tackle air pollution in 1990s, and finally saw some dramatic declines between 2013 and 2018. Air pollution remains a challenge and average levels in Beijing remained two times higher than the national standard and seven times higher than the WHO guideline values. Delhi saw a brief period of stable pollution concentration levels in early 2000s having begun its air pollution management efforts in the early 1990s. Air quality however steadily declined in the 2000s, building up to a crisis in the late 2010s. With concerted efforts of the federal and the Delhi governments, Delhi appears to be turning the corner again on pollution levels.

2. The experiences of these three cities also point to information, incentives, and institutions as the three prongs of an effective air pollution management strategy. As noted above, and interestingly, all three cities began their programs of air quality management in the early 1990s but ended up in very different places in late 2010s, pointing to differences in policies and programs to tackle air pollution. Three features of programs and policies – adequacy and accessibility of information, incentives for compliance, and institutions fit-for-purpose – stand out and offer lessons to other cities.

Information: adequate and accessible

3. Data on air pollution concentrations and its health implications, on sources of pollution, on enforcement, etc. are critical to the design and implementation of air quality programs. Expanding air quality monitoring networks, supporting public disclosure of information on air quality levels and raising awareness on the health and other economic costs of air pollution have been found to increase demand for action. In Mexico City, for example, careful analysis of the impacts of air pollution on the health of children helped galvanized public support for the city’s first air quality management strategy. In Delhi too, overall public support and awareness about the health impacts of air pollution contributed to the government throwing its support behind the CNG conversion program (Bell et al. 2004). And India’s National Air Quality Index program initiated in 2015 is an important step towards supporting action. Additionally, apart from better monitoring data, it is important to improve data to inform air quality action plans, which, in turn, require data on pollution sources and cost effectiveness of different policy interventions. Data on emissions and sources of pollution allow policymakers to build models to assess expected improvements from current and planned policy interventions, to set measurable targets and to identify strategies to meet targets in a cost-effective manner, and to monitor progress. Finally, timely and accessible data can support enforcement of regulations. Installing emissions monitors in large industrial facilities and power plants and making these data public can help hold local regulators and plant operators accountable for upholding environmental standards, as has been the case in China. India’s policy requiring polluting industries...
to install Online Continuous Emissions/Effluent Monitoring Systems will similarly improve enforcement of existing regulations.

Incentives: mainstreamed

4. Countries need a strong regulatory mechanism to ensure that states and cities are incentivized to implement policies and programs to reduce air pollution. Be it carrot- or stick-based, a mechanism to incentivize implementation of air quality management plans is needed. Cities other than Delhi – Bengaluru, Hyderabad, Chennai, Ahmedabad, Kanpur, and Sholapur – developed air quality management plans in 2003-04 to reduce air pollution, at the behest of the Supreme Court. The 11th Five-Year Plan (2007-2012) also provided for cities to develop action plans to manage air pollution (CSE 2016). Given the continued deterioration of air quality, these plans did not lead to any meaningful reduction in air pollution, in part because of a lack of a mechanism to incentivize compliance. Additionally, an examination of the role of the government and Supreme Court in efforts to clean Delhi’s air points to a pattern of dependence on the courts for compliance. Time and time again, the government announced measures to reduce pollution but did not follow through on implementation. The Supreme Court then weighed in to force the government to implement the policy measures it had previously announced. Should the Supreme Court continue to play this role, or can a stronger regulatory framework provide a mechanism to incentivize governments to implement policies designed to tackle air pollution? Sanction powers granted to the United States Environment Protection Agency (EPA) under the United States’ Clean Air Act (CAA) offer some lessons to countries to incentivize implementation. As per the provisions of the CAA, if an area or city is found to have pollution levels above acceptable standards, they are required to prepare and submit to the EPA a State Implementation Plan (SIP). The SIP provides a time bound set of measures, potentially to be imposed on industry, transportation, etc., that are necessary to achieve compliance with air quality standards. The CAA, however, includes additional provisions to enforce SIP implementation. Namely, if the state fails to submit an acceptable plan or fails to implement the measures of an approved plan, the CAA empowers EPA to impose one of two sanctions: (i) withholding certain federal highway funds by prohibiting the Secretary of Transportation from awarding funds from the Federal-aid Highway Program; or (ii) imposing a “2:1 offset” requirement on new sources of emissions such that new sources are granted permits to establish and operate only if they agree to offset every unit of emission from the unit by reduction of two units of emission elsewhere, a requirement that imposes a heavy cost on new facilities and discourages development. US Clean Air Act also provides a carrot: section 105 of the Act authorizes the federal government to provide grants equal up to 60 percent of the cost of state air quality management programs. Currently federal funds on average provide 25 percent of the funding needs of state air programs. The recently announced performance-based grants to Indian cities for air quality improvements is a step in the right direction to create a mechanism to incentivize cities to act. In China, local government officials and state-owned enterprise managers were held accountable for implementing air quality management plan by integrating air quality targets and measures into official performance reviews. Officials that consistently failed to meet targets were demoted and reassigned to less prestigious jobs. Though effective, such a mechanism is not necessarily transferrable to other countries with different governance structures.

5. While enforcement of regulations is essential, it is insufficient; incentives should also be provided to support compliance, and these can entail substantial fiscal outlays. In China, between 2013 and 2017, the central government provided US$ 9.29 billion in special funds and budgetary resources to support air quality management in the region including Beijing and the surrounding provinces and cities. These financial resources were used to support a variety of incentive programs, including subsidies for end-of-pipe controls and boiler retrofits in power plants and factories, rebates for scrapping older vehicles, and payments to households switching out coal-fired heating stoves for gas or electric systems. Provinces, moreover, committed their own resources and used own and centrally allocated funds to leverage additional financing from the private sector in the order of US$ 2.96 billion. In the mid-2000s, Mexico City provided direct subsidies to drivers of old taxis in exchange for retiring and scrapping their old vehicles, along with access to low-cost loans for vehicle renovations or purchase of more efficient vehicles. Similarly, a range of incentives were offered to encourage industrial enterprises to make the switch from fuel oil to natural gas and to install emissions control equipment. Fiscal incentives and exemptions from emergency restrictions that require industrial plants to curtail their production when air pollution reaches high level were included. The
Government of India provided US$ 160 million between 2018 and 2019 to subsidize the distribution of machinery for in-situ management of crop residue to farmers in the states of Punjab, Haryana, and Uttar Pradesh.

**Institutions: fit-for-purpose**

6. The multi-jurisdictional nature of air pollution requires an institutional setup that reaches across individual jurisdictions – an airshed-based\(^\text{35}\) management approach. Because air pollution travels across administrative boundaries, and pollution sources are located both inside and outside any given city, an airshed-based management approach that cuts across jurisdictions is essential to achieving results. In other words, to effectively address the sources of pollution, air quality should be managed at the same scale as the problem. The JJJ Regional Air Quality Prevention and Control Coordination Group was established in China to achieve cross-jurisdictional coordination. The group has high-level participation from all administrative entities in the JJJ region, including the Beijing City Governor, Tianjin City Governor, and Hebei Provincial Governor, as well as leading officials from the relevant sectoral ministries, including the Ministry of Housing and Urban Development, Ministry of Transportation, Ministry of Agriculture, and so on. The group is led by the State Council, China’s highest governmental body. The group is responsible for formulating targets and annual implementation plans for air quality management across the JJJ region and to set policies for cross-jurisdictional issues such as fuel standards, energy supply, and public transportation. Provincial and city-level governments continue to be the primary implementers for these air quality management programs, however. A similar role is played by the Megalopolis Environmental Commission in Mexico, which brings together federal authorities from the ministries of environment, health, and transport with local authorities from Mexico City and 224 municipalities from the neighboring states of Mexico, Hidalgo, Morelos, Puebla, and Tlaxcala, which jointly define an airshed for Mexico City. Lessons from these and other countries with effective intergovernmental coordination suggest that such mechanisms are successful if they are: (i) executive driven; (ii) housed within central agencies at the federal level or an autonomous institution; and that are (iii) formalized to ensure that governments interact with one another on a regular basis.

7. Air pollution management strategies need to be integrated into multi-sector development plans, and an institutional set up is similarly required to facilitate this, to match the cross-sectoral nature of the air pollution challenge. Addressing air pollution requires both national-level policies and local action. Examples of national policies include regulations, standards, sectoral policies, and supporting measures that incentivize implementation, such as taxes or subsidies. Local actions include planning, monitoring, enforcement, and investments. Moreover, to be effective, air quality management activities need to be embedded in national and state development plans, and not just in standalone air quality management plans. Notably, three significant sources of pollution in India – residential biomass burning, agricultural emissions, and dust – do not fall under the direct purview of pollution boards. Program such as the Pradhan Mantri Ujjwala Yojana (see Box 4) that has expanded access to clean cooking fuels, most notably LPG, reducing the reliance on residential biomass burning, is essential to efforts to reduce air pollution. Such a program goes well beyond the mandate of pollution control board and gets to the heart of how development programs are designed. Similarly, reducing emissions from power generation and small and medium enterprises will entail increasing the use of natural gas and renewable energy and goes well beyond the provisions of the Indian Air Act to prescribe and enforce emission standards for power plants and industry. In China, for example, the Ministries of Environmental Protection, Industry and Information Technology, Finance, Housing and Rural Development, along with the National Development and Reform Commission and National Energy Administration, joined together to issue a five-year action plan for air pollution prevention and control for the entire JJJ airshed. Their joint efforts led to the dramatic reduction in coal use in the JJJ region.

8. In summary, air pollution is a critical challenge for many countries. It is today a major health risk and a drag on their economies. But it is a challenge that can nevertheless be tackled with sustained political commitment, policy innovations, and fit-for-purpose institutional arrangements. At the same time, it is a challenge that is best addressed now, before countries put in place their built environment and make energy mix choices, among other development choices, that will lock them into a more or less pollution intensive growth path.

---

\(^{35}\) An airshed is a part of the atmosphere that behaves in a coherent way with respect to the dispersion of emissions.
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Econometric Analysis Reveals Economic Growth in South Asia Systematically More Pollution-Intensive Than in Other Regions

Econometric analysis was performed to test the hypothesis that economic growth has been systematically more pollution-intensive in India and the other South Asian countries than it has for countries at comparable levels. Adapting an approach developed by Stern et al. (2016), the relationship between the long-run growth in pollution and income per capita as:

\[
\hat{p}_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \hat{y}_t + \beta_1 y_{t,0} + \beta_2 p_{t,0} + \sum_{j=4}^k \beta_j X_t + \epsilon_t
\]

where the subscript \( t \) indexes countries. The dependent variable \( \hat{p}_t \) is the average annual rate of change in pollution, calculated for the period from year 0 to year \( T \) as \( \hat{p}_t = \frac{p_{t,T} - p_{t,0}}{T} \); \( \hat{y}_t \) is the average annual growth rate of GDP per capita (year 2011 US$, market rates), calculated similarly to \( \hat{p}_t \); \( y_{t,0} \) is the initial level of GDP per capita in year 0; \( p_{t,0} \) is the initial level of pollution in year 0; \( X_t \) is a vector of control variables; and \( \epsilon_t \) is an error term.

The vector of controls, \( X_t \), includes both time-invariant and time-varying variables. Time-invariant variables include: (a) the minimum temperature of the coldest month; (b) the maximum temperature of the warmest month; (c) the length of coastline as a ratio of the length of a country’s total perimeter; (d) the mean terrain ruggedness index (TRI) score for urban areas in the country; and (e) the share of a country’s land area with mean annual precipitation of less than 250 mm. Minimum and maximum temperatures are included to account for possible differences in energy needs for heating and cooling, which may result in greater emissions and poorer air quality, particularly in areas where households do not have access to clean fuels and technologies for heating and cooking. Countries where large areas are classified as arid (that is, with less than 250 mm precipitation annually) may experience more problems with windblown dust. The length of coastline and mean TRI reflect differences in topographic and geographic characteristics that might influence the diffusion of \( PM_{2.5} \) pollution.

Time-varying controls account for changes in the variables, \( X_t \), as well as the initial levels, \( X_{(i,0)} \). Time-varying controls include: (a) the share of coal, oil, and primary solid biofuels in total primary energy consumption; (b) population density; (c) the share of gross value added (GVA) by manufacturing in total GDP; (d) the share of GVA by agriculture, forestry, and fishing in total GDP; and (e) the degree of outward integration and openness of a country’s economy, measured as the ratio of exports to GDP. (a) will result in greater emissions intensity of energy and therefore worse air quality. Higher population density is also likely to result in worse air quality, given a higher household demand for energy and a greater concentration of pollutant-emitting economic activities. Controls (c) and (d) account for differences in the structure of economic output. Manufacturing is assumed to be the most emissions-intensive sector of the economy, so it is expected that (c) will translate into more pollution. Agriculture (d) may also contribute to \( PM_{2.5} \) emissions, including through burning crop stubble, and contributions to secondary particle. We are agnostic

---

56 In the Stern et al. (2016) study, \( p \) is the log of emissions per capita. In our analysis, we instead use the log of population-weighted mean annual \( PM_{2.5} \) exposure.
about the effect of (e), though researchers have included this variable in previous studies to try to capture the potential effect of polluting, export-oriented industries migrating to countries with lax environmental standards.

The timeframe of the analysis is 1995 to 2015, so \( T = 20 \). As in Stern et al. (2017), we subtract the across-sample means of all continuous levels variables before estimating the equation. Thus, the average annual rate of change in PM2.5 for the “average” country in the sample is given by \( \gamma_0 + \alpha_1 \gamma_0 \), where \( \alpha_1 \) is similar to a time effect and \( \alpha_i \) is the income elasticity. The implied EKC turning point where pollution peaks occurs where \( \frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial \hat{y}} = 0 \) as GDP per capita reaches \( \tau = \exp \left( \frac{-\alpha_1 + \beta_1 \gamma_0}{\beta_1} \right) \) with \( \gamma_0 \) denoting the across-sample mean of \( \ln (\text{GDP per capita}) \) in 1995. If \( \tau \) occurs within the observed range of GDP per capita for countries in the sample, this would support the EKC hypothesis and the existence of a turning point in pollution levels as incomes rise. \( \tau \) below the observed range of GDP per capita would suggest that the pollution declines inversely with income for all observed levels of income and \( \tau \) above the observed range of GDP per capita would suggest that pollution is expected to worsen for all observed levels of income.57

To test if the income elasticity of pollution is systematically different in South Asian countries after controlling for other characteristics, we introduce a regional dummy variable \( r_i \), equal to 1 if the country is in the South Asian region and 0 otherwise:

\[
p_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \gamma_i + \beta_1 \gamma_i y_{i,0} + \beta_2 y_{i,0} + \beta_3 p_{i,0} + \beta_4 r_i + \beta_5 \hat{y}_i r_i + \beta_6 y_{i,0} r_i + \sum_{t=0}^{m} \beta_7 X_t + \epsilon_i \tag{2}
\]

The regional dummies are interacted with GDP per capita and GDP growth, so the overall effect of GDP per capita growth on air quality is \( \alpha_1 + \beta_1 y_{i,0} + \beta_5 \) for countries in South Asia and \( \alpha_1 + \beta_1 y_{i,0} \) elsewhere.58 The coefficient of interest is \( \beta_5 \). If \( \beta_5 = 0 \), then the income elasticity is systematically different for countries in South Asia.59

Changes in air quality in one country may affect \( \hat{p}_i \) in downwind countries, though much of the earlier EKC literature ignored the spatial nature of pollution.60 To capture the transboundary nature of air pollution, we estimate equation (2) by introducing a spatial lag of the dependent variable and allowing for spatial dependence in the error terms. Rewriting the equation in column-vector notation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{p} &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \hat{y} + \beta_1 \hat{y} y_0 + \beta_2 y_0 + \cdots + \lambda W \hat{p} + \epsilon \\
\epsilon &= \rho W + u \tag{3}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( W \) is a matrix of spatial weights, proportional to the inverse distance between countries; \( \lambda W \) represents the spillover effect from the estimated change in PM2.5 in neighboring countries; and \( \epsilon \) is a spatially autoregressive error term, with \( \rho W \) accounting for the spillover of the errors.61

---

57 In the usual setup, the EKC hypothesis suggests that \( \alpha_1 > 0 \) and \( \beta_1 < 0 \), meaning that pollution initially worsens with income growth and then eventually improves, as the elasticity of pollution with respect to income declines with the level of income. Because we have subtracted the sample mean from \( y_{i,0} \), however, this is not necessarily the case. Depending on the relative magnitude of \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \beta_1 \), an inverted U-shape can also occur \( \alpha_1 < 0 \) and \( \beta_1 < 0 \), as \( y_{i,0} < 0 \) for all \( y_{i,0} \) below the sample mean. What is important for the EKC hypothesis to hold is that \( \beta_1 < 0 \).

58 We could also interact \( \hat{y} y_{i,0} r_i \), allowing for the rate at which the income elasticity of pollution declines with higher income to vary across regions. We omit this term, however, to make the interpretation of the coefficients and hypothesis testing more straightforward.

59 We also estimate equation (2) with a full set of dummies for the other regions and test if \( \beta_5 \) is equal to the coefficients for the other regions.

60 This would include all the studies reviewed by Dasgupta et al. (2002) and Stern (2004). Examples of more recent studies that have taken a spatially-explicit approach include studies by Keene and Deller (2013) of ambient PM2.5 in US counties and by Wang et al. (2018) of CO2 emissions in different regions of China.

61 Distances are measured between the centroids of countries. The \( W \) matrix is scaled so the largest eigen value equals 1. In theory, we would want data for all countries in the world to construct the \( W \) matrix. In practical terms, however, this is not very realistic. We estimate the model with data for 176 countries. Any countries with missing data are assumed to have zero influence on neighboring countries. Most of the countries with missing data are small island nations, for which it seems reasonable to assume zero influence on downwind countries; however, there are a few large countries with missing data. These include Eritrea, Finland, Niger, and South Sudan. We do have data for all the South Asian countries.
Equation (3) is estimated using generalized spatial two-stage least squares (GS2SLS) and maximum likelihood (ML) methods. The regression results are provided in tables A.1 and A.2 below. Large differences in the results of the GS2SLS and ML estimates may suggest that the data are not independent and identically distributed. Considering this, we estimate the GS2LS model for equation (3) with heteroskedastic-robust errors. We also experiment with two different spatial weighting matrices. In the first set of GS2SLS and ML regressions (“Model 1” in tables A.1 and A.2), we impose no restrictions on the maximum distance over which countries can influence each other. In the second set of regressions (“Model 2” in tables A.1 and A.2), we set the values in the $W$ matrix equal to zero if countries’ centroids are farther than 1,500 km apart. Because of the spatial lag of the dependent variable $\lambda Wp$, the coefficients in tables A.1 and A.2 are difficult to interpret on their own and should not be misconstrued as the direct effect of the variables on the outcome after eliminating spillover effects.

Two main results are as follows:

First, the signs of the estimated EKC coefficients $\hat{\alpha}_1$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ in the GS2SLS and ML models are consistent with the EKC hypothesis, although $\hat{\alpha}_1$ is statistically insignificant. The implied EKC turning point at which mean annual $PM_{2.5}$ is expected to peak occurs with GDP per capita somewhere between US$ 3,379 and US$ 4,578 for countries outside South Asia in the different models.

Second, in all the models, the estimated coefficient of interest $\hat{\beta}_5$ is positive and statistically significant, meaning that the income elasticity of ambient $PM_{2.5}$ is systematically higher in the South Asia region than for other regions. The implied EKC turning point for South Asia would occur at GDP per capita far above the sample range—effectively meaning that there is no EKC turning point for South Asian countries if they continue along their current growth path.

---

62 Large differences in the results of the GS2SLS and ML estimates may suggest that the data are not independent and identically distributed. Considering this, we estimate the GS2LS model for equation (3) with heteroskedastic-robust errors. We experiment with two different spatial weighting matrices. In the first case, we impose no restrictions on the maximum distance over which countries can influence each other. In the second case, we set the values in the $W$ matrix equal to zero if countries’ centroids are farther than 1,500 km apart. We also estimate equation (2) first using ordinary least squares (OLS) and apply the Moran’s I test to determine if spatial clustering exists in the residuals. The results of Moran’s I test confirm that spatial dependencies do exist.

63 Estimates of the EKC turning point here are for the second set of models in which spatial weights are restricted to countries with centroids within 1,500 km of each other. GDP per capita is taken in constant year 2010 US$ at market rates. Although GDP per capita measured at purchasing power parity (PPP) would be preferable, data are missing for too many countries to estimate the model for 1995 to 2015.
TABLE A.1: Generalized spatial two-stage least squares (GS2SLS) regression results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variable: PM$_{2.5}$ exposure growth rate ($\dot{p}_i$)</th>
<th>GS2SLS Model 1</th>
<th>(se)</th>
<th>GS2SLS Model 2</th>
<th>(se)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GDP/person growth rate ($\ddot{y}_i$)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>(0.029)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>(0.030)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln of GDP/person in 1995 ($\ddot{y}_{i,0}$)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{y}<em>i, \ddot{y}</em>{i,0}$</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>(0.011)</td>
<td>-0.023**</td>
<td>(0.011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln of PM$<em>{2.5}$ in 1995 ($\ddot{p}</em>{i,0}$)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastline length / country perimeter (km/km)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln of urban terrain ruggedness index (TRI)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max temp of warmest month</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min temp of coldest month</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>-0.003**</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of territory that is arid</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>(0.004)</td>
<td>0.007*</td>
<td>(0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in fraction of energy from oil, coal, biofuels</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>(0.053)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>(0.054)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of energy from oil, coal, biofuels in 1995</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population density growth rate</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>(0.075)</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>(0.078)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln of population density in 1995</td>
<td>-0.000</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in ratio of exports to GDP</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
<td>(0.047)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>(0.042)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of exports to GDP in 1995</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>-0.000</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in share of manufacturing GVA in GDP</td>
<td>0.014**</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>0.017**</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of manufacturing GVA in GDP in 1995 (fraction)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>0.011*</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in share of agriculture GVA in GDP</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>(0.009)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>(0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of agriculture GVA in GDP in 1995 (fraction)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country is in South Asia region ($r_i$)</td>
<td>-0.034***</td>
<td>(0.011)</td>
<td>-0.028***</td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{y}_i, r_i$</td>
<td>0.385**</td>
<td>(0.162)</td>
<td>0.326**</td>
<td>(0.154)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ddot{y}_{i,0}, r_i$</td>
<td>-0.014***</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td>-0.013***</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant ($\alpha_0$)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>-0.000</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient on spatial lag of dependent variable ($\lambda$)</td>
<td>2.306***</td>
<td>(0.452)</td>
<td>0.678***</td>
<td>(0.253)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient on spatially autoregressive error ($\rho$)</td>
<td>2.479***</td>
<td>(0.470)</td>
<td>2.536***</td>
<td>(0.326)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations (countries)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td></td>
<td>176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo $R^2$</td>
<td>-0.236</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.511</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes and sources: (se) = heteroskedastic-robust standard errors; * significant at 90% level, ** significant at 95% level, *** significant at 99% level. Data on coastline length is from the CIA World Factbook. Data on maximum temperature, minimum temperature, and precipitation are from the WorldClim V1 Bioclim dataset (Hijmans et al.), obtained and processed in Google Earth Engine. Temperature variables have been standardized to have a mean of zero and standard deviation of one. Areas with less than 250 mm of annual precipitation are classified as arid. TRI is calculated following the method of Riley et al. (1999) using SRTM digital elevation model (v4) data, also obtained and processed through Google Earth Engine, and extents of built-up urban areas from Schneider et al. (2009), obtained from Natural Earth. Population data are from the UN’s World Population Prospects 2017 dataset. Share of agriculture and manufacturing gross value added (GVA) in GDP are calculated using official country national accounts data from UNdata.org and the UN Statistical Divisions’ National Accounts Main Aggregates database. Data on exports are from the World Bank World Development Indicators database.
### TABLE A.2: Maximum likelihood (ML) estimator regression results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variable: PM$_{2.5}$ exposure growth rate ($\dot{p}_i$)</th>
<th>ML Model 1</th>
<th>(se)</th>
<th>ML Model 2</th>
<th>(se)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GDP/person growth rate ($\dot{y}_i$)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>(0.036)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>(0.035)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In of GDP/person in 1995 ($y_{i,0}$)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{y}<em>i y</em>{i,0}$</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>(0.017)</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>(0.016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In of PM$<em>{2.5}$ in 1995 ($p</em>{i,0}$)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>-0.000</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastline length / country area (km/km$^2$)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In of urban terrain ruggedness index (TRI)</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max temp of warmest month</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min temp of coldest month</td>
<td>-0.002**</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>-0.003***</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of territory that is arid</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>0.007**</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in the fraction of energy from oil, coal, biofuels</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
<td>(0.067)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>(0.065)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of energy from oil, coal, biofuels in 1995</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population density growth rate</td>
<td>0.129**</td>
<td>(0.060)</td>
<td>0.105*</td>
<td>(0.062)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In of population density in 1995</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>(0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in ratio of exports to GDP</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>(0.059)</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>(0.057)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of exports to GDP in 1995</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>-0.000</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in share of manufacturing GVA in GDP</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>(0.009)</td>
<td>0.015*</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of manufacturing GVA in GDP in 1995 (fraction)</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>0.012**</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in share of agriculture GVA in GDP</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>(0.011)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>(0.011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of agriculture GVA in GDP in 1995 (fraction)</td>
<td>0.016**</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
<td>0.015*</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country is in South Asia region ($r_i$)</td>
<td>-0.036***</td>
<td>(0.014)</td>
<td>-0.032**</td>
<td>(0.014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{y}_i r_i$</td>
<td>0.473**</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>0.414**</td>
<td>(0.019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_{i,0} r_i$</td>
<td>-0.014**</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>-0.013**</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant ($\alpha_0$)</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient on spatial lag of dependent variable ($\lambda$)</td>
<td>0.930***</td>
<td>(0.068)</td>
<td>0.874***</td>
<td>(0.100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient on spatially autoregressive error ($\rho$)</td>
<td>0.901***</td>
<td>(0.094)</td>
<td>0.827***</td>
<td>(0.134)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>176</td>
<td></td>
<td>176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo $R^2$</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.481</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes and sources: (se) = standard errors; * significant at 90% level, ** significant at 95% level, *** significant at 99% level. See sources and variable explanations for table 2 above.
Table A.3 provides the estimated income elasticities of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ for countries at the same level of GDP per capita as India in 1995 (a) and 2015 (b). The estimated elasticities are shown for countries in South Asia compared to countries outside South Asia. They can be interpreted roughly as the percent change in mean annual PM$_{2.5}$ associated with a one-percent change in GDP per capita. Higher elasticity values suggest that pollution increases by a larger margin for each one-percent increase in income. These elasticities represent the direct effect of income growth on ambient PM$_{2.5}$ in a country after removing the spillover effects of pollution from neighboring countries. As the table shows, the estimated income elasticities are slightly higher with the ML model, but the differences between South Asia and other regions are large and broadly consistent across all the models.

| TABLE A.3: Estimated elasticity values of mean annual PM$_{2.5}$ with respect to GDP per capita for countries at India’s income level in 1995 (a) versus 2015 (b), in and outside the South Asia region |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                                 | In South Asia | Outside South Asia |
|                                 | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) |
| GS2SLS model 1                  | .392** | .384** | .041* | .032* |
|                                 | (.189)** | (.188)** | (.025)** | (.025)** |
| GS2SLS model 2                  | .374** | .360** | .040* | .027* |
|                                 | (.159)** | (.159)** | (.027)** | (.027)** |
| ML model 1                      | .575** | .562** | .064** | .050** |
|                                 | (.219)** | (.219)** | (.035)** | (.034)** |
| ML model 2                      | .490** | .476** | 0.049 | 0.035 |
|                                 | (.210)** | (.210)** | (0.034) | (0.032) |

**Notes:** * significant at 10% level, ** significant at 5% level; (a) India's GDP per capita in 1995 was US$ 621 and (b) in 2015 was US$ 1,752 (constant year 2010 prices, market rates); “OLS” = (non-spatial) ordinary least squares; “GS2SLS” = generalized spatial two-stage least squares; “ML” = maximum likelihood; the implied elasticity values represent the direct average marginal effect of GDP per capita growth on PM$_{2.5}$ exposure, separate from spillover effects from neighboring countries.
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